

### ABSTRACT

Partially answering a question of Seymour, we obtain a sufficient eigenvalue condition for the existence of k edge-disjoint spanning trees in a regular graph, when  $k \in \{2,3\}$ . We construct examples of graphs that show our bounds are essentially best possible.

# STANDARD THEORY

A graph G is an ordered pair (V, E), where V is a where  $\lambda_i(A)$  is the *i*-th largest eigenvalue of A [1]. set of vertices and E is a set of edges. The number of The eigenvalues of A(H) interlace those of A(G), edges coming out of a vertex v is called the **degree**, where A(H) is a principal submatrix of A(G). A(H)and the graph is **regular** if every vertex has the same can be seen as its own adjacency matrix and thus is degree d. A tree is a connected graph with no cycles, represented by a graph H, which is called an **induced** and spanning if its edges are a subset of the edges subgraph of G. of G, and it contains all vertices of G.

If |V| = n, then its adjacency matrix A(G) is an **tient matrix** of a graph is a  $t \times t$  matrix whose (i, j) $n \times n$  matrix where its (i, j) entry is 1 if  $v_i$  is adjacent entry is the *average* number of edges going between to  $v_j$ , and 0 otherwise. The Laplacian Matrix of a part i to part j. The eigenvalues of a quotient matrix graph G is L(G) = D - A(G), where D is a diago- interlace the eigenvalues of A(G) [1]. nal matrix whose *i*-th diagonal entry is the degree of vertex *i*.

Kirchhoff's Matrix Tree Theorem [3] is a classic result relating eigenvalues and spanning trees, given as follows.

eigenvalues of L(G). Then the number of spanning if and only if for all partitions of the vertex set into t trees of G is  $\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \mu_i}{\infty}$ .

Given two square matrices A and B, with dimensions n and m respectively,  $n \ge m$ , the eigenvalues of B **interlace** those of A if for  $1 \le i \le m$ ,

$$\lambda_i(A) \ge \lambda_i(B) \ge \lambda_{n-m+i}(A),$$

### EXTREMAL CONFIGURATIONS



A sufficient and necessary condition for edge-disjoint spanning trees was proven by Nash-Willams and Tutte independently ([4], [5]), which is stated as follows:

# EDGE-DISJOINT SPANNING TREES Wiseley Wong, Dr. Sebastian Cioabă

# Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware

If the vertex set is partitioned in t parts, the **quo-**

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\sigma(G)$  denote the maximum number **Theorem 1.** Let  $0 = \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n$  be the of edge-disjoint spanning trees of G. Then  $\sigma(G) \geq k$ parts, the number of edges joining amongst the t parts is at least k(t-1).

> We provide a sufficient eigenvalue condition for a graph to have at least 2 and 3 edge-disjoint spanning trees. These structures are important in computer science and chemistry.

> > The graph to the far left is a 5-regular graph containing 1 edge-disjoint spanning tree and  $\lambda_2 \approx 4.62 > 5 - \frac{3}{5+2} \approx 4.57$ . This shows that there are graphs with  $\lambda_2$  slightly above the bound that fail the conclusion of Theorem 2.

> > The other graph is a 10-regular graph containing 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees and  $\lambda_2 \approx 9.609 > 10 - \frac{5}{10+2} \approx 9.583$ . This shows that there are graphs with  $\lambda_2$  slightly above the bound that fail the conclusion of Theorem 3.

# RESULTS

**Theorem 3.** Let  $d \ge 4$  and G be a d-regular graph such that  $\lambda_2 < d - \frac{3}{d+1}$ . Then G contains at least 2-edge disjoint spanning trees.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $d \ge 6$  and G be a d-regular graph such that  $\lambda_2 < d - \frac{5}{d+1}$ . Then G contains at least 3-edge disjoint spanning trees.

We constructed the extremal configurations below, two integers. If G is a d-regular graph such that which shows the bounds are best possible. To find a  $\lambda_2(G) < d - \frac{2k-1}{d+1}$ , then  $\sigma(G) \ge k$ .

### CASE OF THEOREM 3

The proof of both theroems use the contrapositive. In Theorem 4, the contrapositive assumes there ex-In Theorem 3, we assume a graph has exactly 1 edge | ists a partition of the vertex set with less than 3(t-1)disjoint spanning tree. Then by Theorem 2, there dedges going amongst the parts. Two possible strucexists a partition of the vertex set with *less than* tures of a graph are given below. 2(t-1) edges going amongst the parts.

The restriction on the edges narrows down the possible structures of the graph. A possible example is

Figure 1: A possible structure of a graph with its vertex set partitioned into 3 parts.

For larger t, calculating the eigenvalues becomes more difficult. Two cases of Theorem 4 are shown to the right, where a partition into t = 4 and 5 parts are considered.

### REFERENCES

[1] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs, Springer Text 2012, available online at http://homepages.cwi.nl/~aeb/math/ipm.pdf. [2] S. M. Cioabă, W. Wong, Edge-Disjoint Spanning Trees and Eigenvalues of Regular Graphs, Submitted to *Linear Alg. Appl.* [3] G. Kirchho<sup>↑</sup>. Über die Au'ösung der Gleichungen, auf welche man bei der untersuchung der linearen verteilung galvanischer Ströme geführt wird. Ann. Phys. Chem. 72, 497-508, 1847. [4] C. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of *inite graphs*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961) 445-450. [5] W. T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors, J. London Math. Soc. 36 (1961) 221-230.

These are our results.



The natural partition in 3 parts for a quotient matrix P, with a simple eigenvalue interlacing argument on  $\lambda_2(P)$  and  $\lambda_2(G)$  gives  $\lambda_2(G) \ge d - \frac{3}{d+1}$  (since we are showing the contrapositive).

similar sufficient eigenvalue condition for more than 3 edge-disjoint spanning trees remains open due to the large increase in cases to consider and from using other results that are only known for small values. We conjecture the following.



5 parts.

Instead of considering the natural partition in 4 or 5 parts to represent a quotient matrix, the color coding shows we partition further into just 3 parts. Looking at its quotient matrix, we following another interlacing argument to conclude  $\lambda_2 \geq d - \frac{5}{d+1}$ .

For larger t, the restriction on edges amongst parts concludes there will be at least 2 parts with no edges between them. Analyzing the induced subgraphs on these parts directly, with eigenvalue interlacing, concludes  $\lambda_2 \geq d - \frac{5}{d+1}$ .



**Conjecture 5.** Let  $d \geq 8$  and  $4 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor$  be

### CASES OF THEOREM 4

Figure 2: Two graphs with its vertex set partitioned into 4 and