

# A characterization of $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs using the intersection numbers

Supalak Sumalroj

Silpakorn University, Thailand

The Algebraic and Extremal Graph Theory Conference  
University of Delaware  
August 9, 2017

# Overview

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ .

Assume  $\Gamma$  is primitive.

We use the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  to find a positive semidefinite matrix  $G$  with integer entries.

We show that  $G$  has determinant zero if and only if  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial.

# History

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ .  
In the literature there are a number of characterizations for the  $Q$ -polynomial condition on  $\Gamma$ .

- There is the balanced set characterization (Terwilliger 1987, 1995).
- There is a characterization involving the dual distance matrices (Terwilliger 1995).
- There is a characterization involving the intersection numbers  $a_i$  (Pascasio 2008; cf. Hanson 2013).
- There is a characterization involving a tail in a representation diagram (Jurišić, Terwilliger, and Žitnik, 2010).
- There is a characterization involving a pair of primitive idempotents (Kurihara and Nozaki 2012; cf. Nomura and Terwilliger 2011).

## Preliminaries

- $\Gamma = (X, R)$  : a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges
  - ▶  $X$  : vertex set
  - ▶  $R$  : edge set
- $\partial$  : the shortest path-length distance function for  $\Gamma$ .
- $D := \max\{\partial(x, y) | x, y \in X\}$  : the *diameter* of  $\Gamma$
- $\Gamma_i(x)$  : the set of vertices at distance  $i$  from  $x$

$$\Gamma_i(x) = \{y \in V | \partial(x, y) = i\}$$

- $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma_1(x)$
- The graph  $\Gamma$  is called **distance-regular** whenever for all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ) and for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)|$$

is independent of  $x$  and  $y$ .

- The integers  $p_{ij}^h$  are called the **intersection numbers** of  $\Gamma$ .

From now on we assume  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular with diameter  $D \geq 3$ .  
We abbreviate

$$c_i := p_{1,i-1}^i \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$a_i := p_{1i}^i \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$b_i := p_{1,i+1}^i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D-1),$$

$$k_i := p_{ii}^0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq D),$$

$$c_0 = 0,$$

$$b_D = 0.$$

$\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k = b_0$  and  $c_i + a_i + b_i = k$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ).

By [BCN] (Brouwer, Cohen, and Neumaier 1989) the following holds for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ :

$$p_{ij}^h = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ is greater than the sum of the other two,} \\ \neq 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ equals the sum of the other two.} \end{cases}$$

By [BCN] (Brouwer, Cohen, and Neumaier 1989) the following holds for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ :

$$p_{ij}^h = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ is greater than the sum of the other two,} \\ \neq 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ equals the sum of the other two.} \end{cases}$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$ , let  $A_i$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(x, y)$ -entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i, \end{cases} \quad x, y \in X.$$

We call  $A_i$  the  $i$ -th distance matrix of  $\Gamma$ .

We call  $A = A_1$  the adjacency matrix of  $\Gamma$ .

Observe that  $A_i$  is real and symmetric for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ .

Note that  $A_0 = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix.

Observe that

- $\sum_{i=0}^D A_i = J$ , where  $J$  is the all-ones matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .
- For  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ,  $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^D p_{ij}^h A_h$ .

Let  $M$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  generated by  $A$ .

The matrices  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$  form a basis for  $M$ .

We call  $M$  the **Bose-Mesner algebra** of  $\Gamma$ .

$M$  has a basis  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  such that

$$E_0 = |X|^{-1}J;$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^D E_i = I;$$

$$E_i^t = E_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D);$$

$$\overline{E_i} = E_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq D);$$

$$E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D).$$

The matrices  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  are called the **primitive idempotents** of  $\Gamma$ , and  $E_0$  is called the **trivial** idempotent.

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $m_i$  denote the rank of  $E_i$ .



Let  $\lambda$  denote an indeterminate.

Define polynomials  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{D+1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$  by  $v_0 = 1$ ,  $v_1 = \lambda$ , and

$$\lambda v_i = c_{i+1} v_{i+1} + a_i v_i + b_{i-1} v_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

where  $c_{D+1} := 1$ .

The following hold:

- $\deg v_i = i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D + 1$ );
- the coefficient of  $\lambda^i$  in  $v_i$  is  $(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i)^{-1}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D + 1$ );
- $v_i(A) = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ );
- $v_{D+1}(A) = 0$ ;
- the distinct eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  are precisely the zeros of  $v_{D+1}$ ; call these  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ .

Let  $\lambda$  denote an indeterminate.

Define polynomials  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{D+1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$  by  $v_0 = 1$ ,  $v_1 = \lambda$ , and

$$\lambda v_i = c_{i+1} v_{i+1} + a_i v_i + b_{i-1} v_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

where  $c_{D+1} := 1$ .

The following hold:

- $\deg v_i = i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D + 1$ );
- the coefficient of  $\lambda^i$  in  $v_i$  is  $(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i)^{-1}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D + 1$ );
- $v_i(A) = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ );
- $v_{D+1}(A) = 0$ ;
- the distinct eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  are precisely the zeros of  $v_{D+1}$ ; call these  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ .

Define polynomials  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^D$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$  by  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = \lambda/k$ , and

$$\lambda u_i = c_i u_{i-1} + a_i u_i + b_i u_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D - 1).$$

Let  $\lambda$  denote an indeterminate.

Define polynomials  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{D+1}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$  by  $v_0 = 1$ ,  $v_1 = \lambda$ , and

$$\lambda v_i = c_{i+1}v_{i+1} + a_i v_i + b_{i-1}v_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D),$$

where  $c_{D+1} := 1$ .

The following hold:

- $\deg v_i = i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D + 1$ );
- the coefficient of  $\lambda^i$  in  $v_i$  is  $(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_i)^{-1}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D + 1$ );
- $v_i(A) = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ );
- $v_{D+1}(A) = 0$ ;
- the distinct eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$  are precisely the zeros of  $v_{D+1}$ ; call these  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$ .

Define polynomials  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^D$  in  $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$  by  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = \lambda/k$ , and

$$\lambda u_i = c_i u_{i-1} + a_i u_i + b_i u_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D - 1).$$

Observe that  $u_i = v_i/k_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ).

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^D v_j(\theta_i) E_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D), \quad (1)$$

$$E_j = |X|^{-1} m_j \sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_j) A_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D). \quad (2)$$

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^D v_j(\theta_i) E_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D), \quad (1)$$

$$E_j = |X|^{-1} m_j \sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_j) A_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D). \quad (2)$$

### Definition 1.

Let  $S \in \text{Mat}_{D+1}(\mathbb{C})$  denote the transition matrix from the basis  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M$  to the basis  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M$ . Thus

$$E_j = \sum_{i=0}^D S_{ij} A_i, \quad A_j = \sum_{i=0}^D (S^{-1})_{ij} E_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D).$$

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^D v_j(\theta_i) E_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D), \quad (1)$$

$$E_j = |X|^{-1} m_j \sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_j) A_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D). \quad (2)$$

### Definition 1.

Let  $S \in \text{Mat}_{D+1}(\mathbb{C})$  denote the transition matrix from the basis  $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M$  to the basis  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M$ . Thus

$$E_j = \sum_{i=0}^D S_{ij} A_i, \quad A_j = \sum_{i=0}^D (S^{-1})_{ij} E_i \quad (0 \leq j \leq D).$$

For  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ,

$$S_{ij} = |X|^{-1} m_j u_i(\theta_j), \quad (S^{-1})_{ij} = v_j(\theta_i).$$

Let  $\circ$  denote the entry-wise multiplication in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .

Note that  $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ . So  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ .

There exist scalars  $q_{ij}^h \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D). \quad (3)$$

We call the  $q_{ij}^h$  the **Krein parameters** of  $\Gamma$ .

These parameters are real and nonnegative for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ .

Let  $\circ$  denote the entry-wise multiplication in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .

Note that  $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ . So  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ .

There exist scalars  $q_{ij}^h \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D). \quad (3)$$

We call the  $q_{ij}^h$  the **Krein parameters** of  $\Gamma$ .

These parameters are real and nonnegative for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ .

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be  **$Q$ -polynomial** with respect to the ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  whenever the following hold for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ :

$$q_{ij}^h = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ is greater than the sum of the other two,} \\ \neq 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ equals the sum of the other two.} \end{cases}$$



Let  $\circ$  denote the entry-wise multiplication in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .

Note that  $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ . So  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ .

There exist scalars  $q_{ij}^h \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D). \quad (3)$$

We call the  $q_{ij}^h$  the **Krein parameters** of  $\Gamma$ .

These parameters are real and nonnegative for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ .

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be  **$Q$ -polynomial** with respect to the ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  whenever the following hold for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ :

$$q_{ij}^h = \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ is greater than the sum of the other two,} \\ \neq 0 & \text{if one of } h, i, j \text{ equals the sum of the other two.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $E$  denote a primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ .

We say that  $\Gamma$  is  **$Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$**  whenever there exists a  $Q$ -polynomial ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents such that  $E = E_1$ .

Fix a vertex  $x \in X$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i, \end{cases} \quad y \in X.$$

We call  $E_i^*$  the  $i$ -th dual idempotent of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

Fix a vertex  $x \in X$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i, \end{cases} \quad y \in X.$$

We call  $E_i^*$  the  $i$ -th dual idempotent of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^D E_i^* &= I; \\ E_i^{*t} &= E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq D); \\ \overline{E_i^*} &= E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq D); \\ E_i^* E_j^* &= \delta_{ij} E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D) \end{aligned}$$

By construction  $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$  are linearly independent.

Fix a vertex  $x \in X$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i, \end{cases} \quad y \in X.$$

We call  $E_i^*$  the  $i$ -th dual idempotent of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^D E_i^* &= I; \\ E_i^{*t} &= E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq D); \\ \overline{E_i^*} &= E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq D); \\ E_i^* E_j^* &= \delta_{ij} E_i^* \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D) \end{aligned}$$

By construction  $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$  are linearly independent.

Let  $M^* = M^*(x)$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with basis  $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$ .

We call  $M^*$  the dual Bose-Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(A_i^*)_{yy} = |X|(E_i)_{xy} \quad y \in X. \quad (4)$$

We call  $A_i^*$  the **dual distance matrix** of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  and  $E_i$ .

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(A_i^*)_{yy} = |X|(E_i)_{xy} \quad y \in X. \quad (4)$$

We call  $A_i^*$  the **dual distance matrix** of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  and  $E_i$ . The matrices  $A_0^*, A_1^*, \dots, A_D^*$  form a basis for  $M^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^* &= I; \\ \sum_{i=0}^D A_i^* &= |X|E_0^*; \\ A_i^{*t} &= A_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq D); \\ \overline{A_i^*} &= A_i^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq D); \\ A_i^* A_j^* &= \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h A_h^* \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D). \end{aligned}$$

From (1), (2) we have

$$A_j^* = m_j \sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_j) E_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D), \quad (5)$$

$$E_j^* = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^D v_j(\theta_i) A_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D). \quad (6)$$

From (1), (2) we have

$$A_j^* = m_j \sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_j) E_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D), \quad (5)$$

$$E_j^* = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^D v_j(\theta_i) A_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D). \quad (6)$$

The matrix  $|X|S$  is the transition matrix from the basis  $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M^*$  to the basis  $\{A_i^*\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M^*$ .



From (1), (2) we have

$$A_j^* = m_j \sum_{i=0}^D u_i(\theta_j) E_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D), \quad (5)$$

$$E_j^* = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^D v_j(\theta_i) A_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D). \quad (6)$$

The matrix  $|X|S$  is the transition matrix from the basis  $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M^*$  to the basis  $\{A_i^*\}_{i=0}^D$  of  $M^*$ .

Thus

$$A_j^* = |X| \sum_{i=0}^D S_{ij} E_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D),$$

$$E_j^* = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^D (S^{-1})_{ij} A_i^* \quad (0 \leq j \leq D).$$

The matrices  $S^{alt}$ ,  $(S^{-1})^{alt}$ ,  $S'$

We now modify the matrices  $S, S^{-1}$  to obtain  $D \times D$  matrices  $S^{alt}, (S^{-1})^{alt}$  as follows:

$$(S^{alt})_{ij} = S_{ij} - S_{0j} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq D), \quad (7)$$

$$(S^{-1})^{alt}_{ij} = (S^{-1})_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq D). \quad (8)$$

The matrices  $S^{alt}$ ,  $(S^{-1})^{alt}$ ,  $S'$

We now modify the matrices  $S, S^{-1}$  to obtain  $D \times D$  matrices  $S^{alt}, (S^{-1})^{alt}$  as follows:

$$(S^{alt})_{ij} = S_{ij} - S_{0j} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq D), \quad (7)$$

$$(S^{-1})^{alt}_{ij} = (S^{-1})_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq D). \quad (8)$$

The matrices  $S^{alt}$ ,  $(S^{-1})^{alt}$ ,  $S'$

## Lemma 2.

The following (i)–(iv) hold.

- (i)  $S^{alt}$  is the transition matrix from  $\{A_2 E_i^* A - A E_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D$  to  $\{A_2 A_i^* A - A A_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D$ .
- (ii)  $S^{alt}$  is the transition matrix from  $\{A_3 E_i^* - E_i^* A_3\}_{i=1}^D$  to  $\{A_3 A_i^* - A_i^* A_3\}_{i=1}^D$ .
- (iii)  $S^{alt}$  is the transition matrix from  $\{A_2 E_i^* - E_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D$  to  $\{A_2 A_i^* - A_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D$ .
- (iv)  $S^{alt}$  is the transition matrix from  $\{A E_i^* - E_i^* A\}_{i=1}^D$  to  $\{A A_i^* - A_i^* A\}_{i=1}^D$ .
- (v)  $(S^{-1})^{alt}$  and  $S^{alt}$  are inverses.

Define a matrix

$$S' = \begin{bmatrix} S^{alt} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & S^{alt} & & \\ & & S^{alt} & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & S^{alt} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $S^{alt}$  is from (7).

Observe that  $S'$  is  $4D \times 4D$ .

Define a matrix

$$S' = \begin{bmatrix} S^{alt} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & S^{alt} & & \\ & & S^{alt} & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & S^{alt} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $S^{alt}$  is from (7).

Observe that  $S'$  is  $4D \times 4D$ .

### Lemma 3.

$\det(S') = (\det(S^{alt}))^4$ . Moreover  $S'$  is invertible.

Define a matrix

$$S' = \begin{bmatrix} S^{alt} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & S^{alt} & & \\ & & S^{alt} & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & S^{alt} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $S^{alt}$  is from (7).

Observe that  $S'$  is  $4D \times 4D$ .

### Lemma 3.

$\det(S') = (\det(S^{alt}))^4$ . Moreover  $S'$  is invertible.

### Corollary 4.

The matrix  $S'$  is the transition matrix from

$$\{A_2 E_i^* A - A E_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D, \{A_3 E_i^* - E_i^* A_3\}_{i=1}^D, \{A_2 E_i^* - E_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D, \\ \{A E_i^* - E_i^* A\}_{i=1}^D$$

to

$$\{A_2 A_i^* A - A A_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D, \{A_3 A_i^* - A_i^* A_3\}_{i=1}^D, \{A_2 A_i^* - A_i^* A_2\}_{i=1}^D, \\ \{A A_i^* - A_i^* A\}_{i=1}^D.$$

## The bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$

We endow  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with the Hermitean inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  such that  $\langle R, S \rangle = \text{tr}(R^t \overline{S})$  for all  $R, S \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .

The inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  is positive definite.



## The bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$

We endow  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with the Hermitean inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  such that  $\langle R, S \rangle = \text{tr}(R^t \overline{S})$  for all  $R, S \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .

The inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  is positive definite.

### Lemma 5.

(See [11, Lemma 3.2].) For  $0 \leq h, i, j, r, s, t \leq D$ ,

- (i)  $\langle E_i^* A_j E_h^*, E_r^* A_s E_t^* \rangle = \delta_{ir} \delta_{js} \delta_{ht} k_h p_{ij}^h$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle E_i A_j^* E_h, E_r A_s^* E_t \rangle = \delta_{ir} \delta_{js} \delta_{ht} m_h q_{ij}^h$ .

## The bilinear form $\langle , \rangle$

We endow  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with the Hermitean inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  such that  $\langle R, S \rangle = \text{tr}(R^t \overline{S})$  for all  $R, S \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ .

The inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  is positive definite.

### Lemma 5.

(See [11, Lemma 3.2].) For  $0 \leq h, i, j, r, s, t \leq D$ ,

- (i)  $\langle E_i^* A_j E_h^*, E_r^* A_s E_t^* \rangle = \delta_{ir} \delta_{js} \delta_{ht} k_h p_{ij}^h$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle E_i A_j^* E_h, E_r A_s^* E_t \rangle = \delta_{ir} \delta_{js} \delta_{ht} m_h q_{ij}^h$ .

### Lemma 6.

For  $0 \leq h, i, j, r, s, t \leq D$  we have

$$\langle A_i E_j^* A_h, A_r E_s^* A_t \rangle = \sum_{\ell=0}^D k_\ell p_{ir}^\ell p_{js}^\ell p_{ht}^\ell.$$

## Definition 7.

Let  $G$  denote the matrix of inner products for

$$A_2 E_i^* A - A E_i^* A_2, A_3 E_i^* - E_i^* A_3, A_2 E_i^* - E_i^* A_2, A E_i^* - E_i^* A,$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq D$ . Thus the matrix  $G$  is  $4D \times 4D$ .

## Theorem 8.

The entries of  $G$  are as follows: For  $1 \leq i, j \leq D$ ,

$\langle , \rangle$	$A_2E_j^*A - AE_j^*A_2$	$A_3E_j^* - E_j^*A_3$	$A_2E_j^* - E_j^*A_2$	$AE_j^* - E_j^*A$
$A_2E_i^*A - AE_i^*A_2$	$\phi$	$2k_2b_2(p_{ij}^1 - p_{ij}^2)$	$2k_2a_2(p_{ij}^1 - p_{ij}^2)$	$2k_2c_2(p_{ij}^1 - p_{ij}^2)$
$A_3E_i^* - E_i^*A_3$	$2k_2b_2(p_{ij}^1 - p_{ij}^2)$	$2k_3(\delta_{ij}k_i - p_{ij}^3)$	0	0
$A_2E_i^* - E_i^*A_2$	$2k_2a_2(p_{ij}^1 - p_{ij}^2)$	0	$2k_2(\delta_{ij}k_i - p_{ij}^2)$	0
$AE_i^* - E_i^*A$	$2k_2c_2(p_{ij}^1 - p_{ij}^2)$	0	0	$2k(\delta_{ij}k_i - p_{ij}^1)$

where  $\phi/2$  is a weighted sum involving the following terms and coefficients:

term	coefficient
$p_{ij}^0$	$kk_2$
$p_{ij}^1$	$k_2a_1a_2 - kb_1^2$
$p_{ij}^2$	$k_2(c_2(b_1 - 1) - a_2(a_1 + 1) + b_2(c_3 - 1))$
$p_{ij}^3$	$-k_3c_3^2$

## Definition 9.

For  $1 \leq i \leq D$  let  $B_i$  denote the matrix of inner products for

$$A_2A_i^*A - AA_i^*A_2, A_3A_i^* - A_i^*A_3, A_2A_i^* - A_i^*A_2, AA_i^* - A_i^*A.$$

So the matrix  $B_i$  is  $4 \times 4$ .

## Definition 9.

For  $1 \leq i \leq D$  let  $B_i$  denote the matrix of inner products for

$$A_2 A_i^* A - A A_i^* A_2, A_3 A_i^* - A_i^* A_3, A_2 A_i^* - A_i^* A_2, A A_i^* - A_i^* A.$$

So the matrix  $B_i$  is  $4 \times 4$ .

## Definition 10.

Let  $\tilde{G}$  denote the matrix of inner products for

$$A_2 A_i^* A - A A_i^* A_2, A_3 A_i^* - A_i^* A_3, A_2 A_i^* - A_i^* A_2, A A_i^* - A_i^* A,$$

where  $1 \leq i \leq D$ . Thus the matrix  $\tilde{G}$  is  $4D \times 4D$ .

## Lemma 11.

The matrix  $\tilde{G}$  has the form

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & B_D \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_D$  are from Definition 9.

## Lemma 11.

The matrix  $\tilde{G}$  has the form

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & B_D \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_D$  are from Definition 9.

## Lemma 12.

- (i)  $\det(\tilde{G}) = \prod_{i=1}^D \det(B_i).$
- (ii)  $\tilde{G} = (S')^t G S'.$
- (iii)  $\det(G) = (\det(S'))^{-2} \det(\tilde{G}).$
- (iv)  $\det(G) = (\det(S^{alt}))^{-8} \prod_{i=1}^D \det(B_i).$



## The main result

For  $1 \leq i \leq D$  let  $\Gamma_i$  denote the graph with vertex set  $X$  where vertices are adjacent in  $\Gamma_i$  whenever they are at distance  $i$  in  $\Gamma$ .

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be **primitive** whenever  $\Gamma_i$  is connected for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ .

## The main result

For  $1 \leq i \leq D$  let  $\Gamma_i$  denote the graph with vertex set  $X$  where vertices are adjacent in  $\Gamma_i$  whenever they are at distance  $i$  in  $\Gamma$ .

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be **primitive** whenever  $\Gamma_i$  is connected for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ .

### Theorem 13.

*Let  $\Gamma$  denote a primitive distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if  $\det(G) = 0$ .*

In Theorem 13 we assume  $\Gamma$  is primitive in order to invoke Terwilliger's dual distance matrix characterization of the  $Q$ -polynomial property [10, Theorem 3.3].

## The main result

For  $1 \leq i \leq D$  let  $\Gamma_i$  denote the graph with vertex set  $X$  where vertices are adjacent in  $\Gamma_i$  whenever they are at distance  $i$  in  $\Gamma$ .

The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be **primitive** whenever  $\Gamma_i$  is connected for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ .

### Theorem 13.

*Let  $\Gamma$  denote a primitive distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if  $\det(G) = 0$ .*

In Theorem 13 we assume  $\Gamma$  is primitive in order to invoke Terwilliger's dual distance matrix characterization of the  $Q$ -polynomial property [10, Theorem 3.3].

Thank you for your attention.

# The main result

## Lemma 14.

(See [BCN, Proposition 4.4.7].)

*Assume  $\Gamma$  is primitive. Then  $u_i(\theta_j) \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq D$ .*

## Theorem 15.

(See [Terwilliger 1995, Theorem 3.3].)

*Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ , and let  $\theta$  denote any eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $E$  denote the corresponding primitive idempotent, with dual eigenvalue sequence  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$ . Then  $A^*A_3 - A_3A^* \in \text{Span}\{AA^*A_2 - A_2A^*A, A^*A_2 - A_2A^*, A^*A - AA^*\}$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$ .*

# The main result

## Proof

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to the ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ . Write  $A^* = A_1^*$ .

By Theorem 15 and Lemma 14, we obtain

$$A^*A_3 - A_3A^* \in \text{Span}\{AA^*A_2 - A_2A^*A, A^*A_2 - A_2A^*, A^*A - AA^*\}.$$

Thus  $AA^*A_2 - A_2A^*A, A^*A_3 - A_3A^*, A^*A_2 - A_2A^*, A^*A - AA^*$  are linearly dependent.

Now the matrix  $B_1$  from Definition 9 has determinant zero.

Now  $\det(G) = 0$  by Lemma 12(iii).

## Proof

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\det(G) = 0$ .

By Lemma 12(iii) and since  $S^{alt}$  is invertible, there exists an integer  $t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq D$ ) such that  $\det(B_t) = 0$ .

Now  $AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A$ ,  $A_t^*A_3 - A_3A_t^*$ ,  $A_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*$ ,  $A_t^*A - AA_t^*$  are linearly dependent.

We will show that

$A_t^*A_3 - A_3A_t^* \in \text{Span}\{AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A, A_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*, A_t^*A - AA_t^*\}$ .

To do this we show that  $AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A$ ,  $A_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*$ ,  $A_t^*A - AA_t^*$  are linearly independent.

Suppose not.

Then there exist scalars  $a, b, c$ , not all zero, such that

$$a(AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A) + b(A_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*) + c(A_t^*A - AA_t^*) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Abbreviate  $\theta_i^* = m_t u_i(\theta_t)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ). So  $A_t^* = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i^* E_i^*$ .

By Lemma 14,

$$\theta_i^* \neq \theta_0^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq D). \quad (10)$$

## Proof

For  $1 \leq h \leq 3$  pick  $z \in X$  such that  $\partial(x, z) = h$ .

Compute the  $(x, z)$ -entry in (9).

For  $h = 3$  we get  $ac_3(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) = 0$ .

For  $h = 2$  we get  $aa_2(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) + b(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*) = 0$ .

For  $h = 1$  we get  $ab_1(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) + c(\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*) = 0$ .

Solving these equations we obtain  $a(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) = 0$  and  $b = 0, c = 0$ .

Recall that  $a, b, c$  are not all zero, so  $a \neq 0$  and  $\theta_1^* = \theta_2^*$ .

Now (9) becomes  $AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A = 0$ .

Recall  $c_2A_2 = A^2 - a_1A - kI$ .

We have  $AA_t^*A^2 + kA_t^*A = A^2A_t^*A + kAA_t^*$ .

Thus  $[A, AA_t^*A + kA_t^*] = 0$ .

For  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$  such that  $i \neq j$  we have  $E_iA_t^*E_j(\theta_i\theta_j + k) = 0$ .

Recall  $E_iA_j^*E_h = 0$  if and only if  $q_{ij}^h = 0$  for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ .

So  $E_iA_t^*E_j \neq 0$  if and only if  $q_{ij}^t \neq 0$ , and in this case  $\theta_i\theta_j + k = 0$ .

Since  $q_{0t}^t = 1$  and  $\theta_0 = k$ , we have  $k\theta_t + k = 0$  and hence  $\theta_t = -1$ .

## Proof.

Define a diagram with nodes  $0, 1, \dots, D$ .

There exists an arc between nodes  $i, j$  if and only if  $i \neq j$  and  $q_{ij}^t \neq 0$ .

Observe that node 0 is connected to node  $t$  and no other nodes.

By [BCN, Proposition 2.11.1] and Lemma 14, the diagram is connected.

Thus there exists a node  $s$  with  $s \neq 0$  and  $s \neq t$  that is connected to node  $t$  by an arc.

In other words  $q_{st}^t \neq 0$ .

So  $\theta_s \theta_t + k = 0$  and hence  $\theta_s = k$ , a contradiction.







Therefore  $AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A, A_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*, A_t^*A - AA_t^*$  are linearly independent.






So

$$A_t^*A_3 - A_3A_t^* \in \text{Span}\{AA_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*A, A_t^*A_2 - A_2A_t^*, A_t^*A - AA_t^*\}.$$

Now by Theorem 15 and (10),  $\Gamma$  is a  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E = E_t$ . □



-  E. Bannai, T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
-  A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-regular graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
-  E. Hanson, *A characterization of Leonard pairs using the parameters  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$* , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013), 2289–2305.
-  T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger, *Some algebra related to  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes*, In *Codes and Association Schemes* (Piscataway NJ, 1999), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp.167–192; arXiv:math. CO/0406556.
-  A. Jurišić, P. Terwilliger, and A. Žitnik, *The  $Q$ -polynomial idempotents of a distance-regular graph*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 100 (2010), 683–690.
-  H. Kurihara and H. Nozaki, *A characterization of  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 119 (2012), 57–62.

-  K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger, *Tridiagonal matrices with nonnegative entries*, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011), 2527–2538.
-  A.A. Pascasio, *A characterization of  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs*, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 3090–3096.
-  P. Terwilliger, *A characterization of  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 45 (1987), 8–26.
-  P. Terwilliger, *A new inequality for distance-regular graphs*, Discrete Math. 137 (1995), 319–332.
-  P. Terwilliger, *The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I*, J. Algebraic Combin. 1 (1992), 363–388.