Non-commutative association schemes of rank 6

M. Muzychuk (joint work with A. Herman and B. Xu),

Netanya Academic College, Israel

Algebraic and Extremal Graph Theory, a conference in honor of Willem Haemers, Felix Lazebnik and Andrew Woldar, August 2017, University of Delaware, USA

1. Y. Asaba and A. Hanaki, A construction of integral standard generalized table algebras from parameters of projective geometries, *Israel J. Math.*, **194**, (2013), 395-408.

2. A. Hanaki and P.-H. Zieschang, on imprimitive noncommutative association schemes of order 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **42** (3), (2014), 1151-1199.

3. M. Yoshikawa, On noncommutative integral standard table algebras in dimension 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **42** (2014), 2046-2060.

4. B. Drabkin and C. French, On a class of noncommutative imprimitive association schemes of rank 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **43** (9), (2015), 4008-4041.

5. C. French and P.-H. Zieschang, On the normal structure of noncommutative association schemes of rank 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **44** (3), 2016, 1143-1170.

1. Y. Asaba and A. Hanaki, A construction of integral standard generalized table algebras from parameters of projective geometries, *Israel J. Math.*, **194**, (2013), 395-408.

2. A. Hanaki and P.-H. Zieschang, on imprimitive noncommutative association schemes of order 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **42** (3), (2014), 1151-1199.

3. M. Yoshikawa, On noncommutative integral standard table algebras in dimension 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **42** (2014), 2046-2060.

4. B. Drabkin and C. French, On a class of noncommutative imprimitive association schemes of rank 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **43** (9), (2015), 4008-4041.

5. C. French and P.-H. Zieschang, On the normal structure of noncommutative association schemes of rank 6, *Comm. Algebra*, **44** (3), 2016, 1143-1170.

In all those papers it was assumed that the scheme is imprimitive.

Notation

Notation

If $R, S \subseteq X^2$ are binary relations on a finite set X, then **1** $R(x) := \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in R\};$ **2** $R^t := \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid (y, x) \in R\}$ **3** RS is the relational product of R and S

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Notation

If $R, S \subseteq X^2$ are binary relations on a finite set X, then

1
$$R(x) := \{y \in X \mid (x, y) \in R\};$$

2
$$R^t := \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid (y, x) \in R\}$$

3 RS is the relational product of R and S

If ${\mathbb F}$ is a field, then

- 1 $M_X(\mathbb{F})$ is the matrix algebra;
- **2** I_X is the identity matrix;
- **3** J_X is all one matrix;
- 4 \top is is matrix transposition;

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1 \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

1 \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$

2
$$\forall_{i \in \{0,...,d\}} \exists_{i' \in \{0,...,d\}}$$
 s.t. $R_i^t = R_{i'}$;

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

- **1** \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$
- **2** $\forall_{i \in \{0,...,d\}} \exists_{i' \in \{0,...,d\}}$ s.t. $R_i^t = R_{i'}$;
- **3** for any triple $i, j, k \in \{0, ..., d\}$ and any pair $(x, y) \in R_k$ the intersection number $p_{ij}^k := |R_i(x) \cap R_{j'}(y)|$ depends only on i, j, k.

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

- **1** \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$
- **2** $\forall_{i \in \{0,...,d\}} \exists_{i' \in \{0,...,d\}}$ s.t. $R_i^t = R_{i'}$;
- **3** for any triple $i, j, k \in \{0, ..., d\}$ and any pair $(x, y) \in R_k$ the intersection number $p_{ij}^k := |R_i(x) \cap R_{j'}(y)|$ depends only on i, j, k.

1 (X, R_i) - basic (di)graphs of \mathfrak{X} ,

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

- **1** \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$
- **2** $\forall_{i \in \{0,...,d\}} \exists_{i' \in \{0,...,d\}}$ s.t. $R_i^t = R_{i'}$;
- **3** for any triple $i, j, k \in \{0, ..., d\}$ and any pair $(x, y) \in R_k$ the intersection number $p_{ij}^k := |R_i(x) \cap R_{j'}(y)|$ depends only on i, j, k.

(X, R_i) - basic (di)graphs of X, regular of valency/degree δ_i := p⁰_{ii};

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

- **1** \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$
- **2** $\forall_{i \in \{0,...,d\}} \exists_{i' \in \{0,...,d\}}$ s.t. $R_i^t = R_{i'}$;
- **3** for any triple $i, j, k \in \{0, ..., d\}$ and any pair $(x, y) \in R_k$ the intersection number $p_{ij}^k := |R_i(x) \cap R_{j'}(y)|$ depends only on i, j, k.
- (X, R_i) basic (di)graphs of X, regular of valency/degree δ_i := p⁰_{ii'};

2 |X| - the order/degree of \mathfrak{X} ;

Definition

A pair $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_0, ..., R_d\})$ is called an association scheme iff

- **1** \mathcal{R} is a partition of X^2 and $R_0 = \{(x, x) | x \in X\};$
- **2** $\forall_{i \in \{0,...,d\}} \exists_{i' \in \{0,...,d\}}$ s.t. $R_i^t = R_{i'}$;
- **3** for any triple $i, j, k \in \{0, ..., d\}$ and any pair $(x, y) \in R_k$ the intersection number $p_{ij}^k := |R_i(x) \cap R_{j'}(y)|$ depends only on i, j, k.
- (X, R_i) basic (di)graphs of X, regular of valency/degree δ_i := p⁰_{ii'};

- **2** |X| the order/degree of \mathfrak{X} ;
- 3 $|\mathcal{R}|$ the rank of \mathfrak{X} .

Theorem

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the basic graph (X, R_i) . Then the linear span $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} := \langle A_0, ..., A_d \rangle$ is a subalgebra of the matrix algebra $M_X(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $I_X, J_X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\top} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$A_iA_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^kA_k.$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is called the adjacency / Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . The basis $A_0, ..., A_d$ is called the standard basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Theorem

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the basic graph (X, R_i) . Then the linear span $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} := \langle A_0, ..., A_d \rangle$ is a subalgebra of the matrix algebra $M_X(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $I_X, J_X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\top} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^k A_k.$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is called the adjacency / Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . The basis $A_0, ..., A_d$ is called the standard basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

A scheme is called commutative if its BM-algebra is commutative.

Theorem

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the basic graph (X, R_i) . Then the linear span $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} := \langle A_0, ..., A_d \rangle$ is a subalgebra of the matrix algebra $M_X(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $I_X, J_X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\top} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$A_iA_j=\sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^kA_k.$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is called the adjacency / Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . The basis $A_0, ..., A_d$ is called the standard basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

A scheme is called commutative if its BM-algebra is commutative. A scheme is called symmetric (antisymmetric) if all it's non-reflexive relations are symmetric (antisymmetric. resp.).

Theorem

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the basic graph (X, R_i) . Then the linear span $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} := \langle A_0, ..., A_d \rangle$ is a subalgebra of the matrix algebra $M_X(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $I_X, J_X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\top} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$A_iA_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^kA_k.$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is called the adjacency / Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . The basis $A_0, ..., A_d$ is called the standard basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

A scheme is called commutative if its BM-algebra is commutative. A scheme is called symmetric (antisymmetric) if all it's non-reflexive relations are symmetric (antisymmetric. resp.).

Proposition

A symmetric scheme is commutative

Theorem

Let A_i be the adjacency matrix of the basic graph (X, R_i) . Then the linear span $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} := \langle A_0, ..., A_d \rangle$ is a subalgebra of the matrix algebra $M_X(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $I_X, J_X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\top} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$A_iA_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^kA_k.$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is called the adjacency / Bose-Mesner algebra of \mathfrak{X} . The basis $A_0, ..., A_d$ is called the standard basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

A scheme is called commutative if its BM-algebra is commutative. A scheme is called symmetric (antisymmetric) if all it's non-reflexive relations are symmetric (antisymmetric. resp.).

Proposition

A symmetric scheme is commutative \Rightarrow

A non-commutative scheme contains at least one pair of anti-symmetric relations.

Imprimitive association schemes

Definition

The scheme is **imprimitive** if there exists a non-reflexive basic graph which is not strongly connected.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Imprimitive association schemes

Definition

The scheme is **imprimitive** if there exists a non-reflexive basic graph which is not strongly connected.

Proposition

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ be an association scheme and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} = \langle A_0, ..., A_d \rangle$ its BM-algebra, $char(\mathbb{F}) = 0$. The following conditions are equivalent

- (a) \mathfrak{X} is imprimitive;
- (b) $\exists I \subset \{0, ..., d\}$ s.t. $1 < |I| \le d$ and $R_I := \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$ is an equivalence relation on X;

(c)
$$\exists I \subset \{0, ..., d\}$$
 s.t. $I' = I$ and $\langle A_i \rangle_{i \in I}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$, char $(\mathbb{F}) = 0$.

The subset $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a closed subset of \mathcal{R} .

$$A(\mathfrak{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 5 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 5 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Example (the basic graphs)



◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ● ● ● ●

1 group theory;

- **1** group theory;
- 2 merging of classes;

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

- 1 group theory;
- 2 merging of classes;
- **3** finite geometry and design theory;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- 1 group theory;
- 2 merging of classes;
- **3** finite geometry and design theory;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

4 the others.

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group, $\Pi : \mathbb{F}[G] \to M_X(\mathbb{F})$ corresponding representation of G, $R_0 = I_X, R_1, ..., R_d$ be the complete set of 2-orbits (orbitals) of G.

Proposition

The set of relations R_i , i = 0, ..., d form an association scheme on X. Its BM-algebra coincides with $C_{M_X(\mathbb{F})}(\Pi(\mathbb{F}[G]))$. Association schemes of this type are called Schurian.

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group, $\Pi : \mathbb{F}[G] \to M_X(\mathbb{F})$ corresponding representation of G, $R_0 = I_X, R_1, ..., R_d$ be the complete set of 2-orbits (orbitals) of G.

Proposition

The set of relations R_i , i = 0, ..., d form an association scheme on X. Its BM-algebra coincides with $C_{M_X(\mathbb{F})}(\Pi(\mathbb{F}[G]))$. Association schemes of this type are called Schurian.

Example

If G acts regularly on X, then the relations R_i are permutations of X which form a regular permutation subgroup of Sym(X) isomorphic to G. All basic relations of this scheme are thin (have valency one).

The BM-algebra of this scheme is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[G]$.

Class merging (fusion and fission schemes)

Definition

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ and $\mathfrak{X}' = (X, \mathcal{R}' = \{R'_i\}_{i=0}^{d'})$ be two association schemes with the same point set X. We say that \mathfrak{X}' is a fusion of \mathfrak{X} (or \mathfrak{X} is a fission of \mathfrak{X}') iff each R'_i is a union of some R_j .

Class merging (fusion and fission schemes)

Definition

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ and $\mathfrak{X}' = (X, \mathcal{R}' = \{R'_i\}_{i=0}^{d'})$ be two association schemes with the same point set X. We say that \mathfrak{X}' is a fusion of \mathfrak{X} (or \mathfrak{X} is a fission of \mathfrak{X}') iff each R'_i is a union of some R_j .

Proposition

 $\mathfrak{X}' = (X, \mathcal{R}' = \{R'_i\}_{i=0}^{d'})$ is a fusion of $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R} = \{R_i\}_{i=0}^{d})$ iff there exists a partition $T_0, ..., T_{d'}$ of $\{0, 1, ..., d\}$ such that

1
$$T_0 = \{0\};$$

2 $\forall_i \exists j \ T'_i = T_j;$
3 $\forall_i \ R'_i = \bigcup_{i \in T_i} R_i$

Example

Let $\Pi = (P, L)$ be a projective plane of order *n*. Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of flags (p, ℓ) of the plane Π . Define two relations on \mathcal{F} as following

$$S := \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) | p_1 = p_2, \ell_1 \neq \ell_2\}, \\ T := \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) | P_1 \neq p_2, \ell_1 = \ell_2\}.$$

Then the relations $1_{\mathcal{F}}$, S, T, ST, TS, TST form an association scheme of rank 6 on \mathcal{F} called the flag scheme of a projective plane.

Let $\Pi = (P, L)$ be a projective plane of order *n*. Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of flags (p, ℓ) of the plane Π . Define two relations on \mathcal{F} as following

$$S := \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) | p_1 = p_2, \ell_1 \neq \ell_2\}, \\ T := \{((p_1, \ell_1), (p_2, \ell_2)) | P_1 \neq p_2, \ell_1 = \ell_2\}.$$

Then the relations $1_{\mathcal{F}}$, S, T, ST, TS, TST form an association scheme of rank 6 on \mathcal{F} called the flag scheme of a projective plane.

The flag scheme is non-commutative and imprimitive.

AS of small rank
Proposition

A rank two scheme on a point set X is trivial: $I_X, X^2 \setminus I_X$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Proposition

A rank two scheme on a point set X is trivial: $I_X, X^2 \setminus I_X$.

Schemes of rank three.

 $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2\})$ with 1' = 2, 2' = 1 (antisymmetric case) or 1' = 1, 2' = 2 (symmetric case).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Proposition

A rank two scheme on a point set X is trivial: $I_X, X^2 \setminus I_X$.

Schemes of rank three.

 $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2\})$ with 1' = 2, 2' = 1 (antisymmetric case) or 1' = 1, 2' = 2 (symmetric case).

In the first case the parameters are completely determined by the degree |X|. The basic graphs form a pair of doubly regular tournaments.

Proposition

A rank two scheme on a point set X is trivial: $I_X, X^2 \setminus I_X$.

Schemes of rank three.

 $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2\})$ with 1' = 2, 2' = 1 (antisymmetric case) or 1' = 1, 2' = 2 (symmetric case).

In the first case the parameters are completely determined by the degree |X|. The basic graphs form a pair of doubly regular tournaments.

In the second case the basic graphs form a complementary pair of strongly regular graphs. The parameters are completely determined by $p_{11}^0, p_{11}^1, p_{11}^2$.

Proposition

A rank two scheme on a point set X is trivial: $I_X, X^2 \setminus I_X$.

Schemes of rank three.

 $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, R_2\})$ with 1' = 2, 2' = 1 (antisymmetric case) or 1' = 1, 2' = 2 (symmetric case).

In the first case the parameters are completely determined by the degree |X|. The basic graphs form a pair of doubly regular tournaments.

In the second case the basic graphs form a complementary pair of strongly regular graphs. The parameters are completely determined by $p_{11}^0, p_{11}^1, p_{11}^2$.

In both cases the schemes are commutative.

BM-algebra of an association scheme.

Theorem (B. Weisfeiler & A. Leman, D. Higman)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ be a scheme. It's BM-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is semisimple if char $(\mathbb{F}) = 0$. If, in addition, \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}\cong \oplus_{i=0}^k M_{m_i}(\mathbb{F}), ext{ with } m_0=1.$$

In particular, $|\mathcal{R}| = \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_i^2$.

BM-algebra of an association scheme.

Theorem (B. Weisfeiler & A. Leman, D. Higman)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ be a scheme. It's BM-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is semisimple if char $(\mathbb{F}) = 0$. If, in addition, \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}} \cong \oplus_{i=0}^k M_{m_i}(\mathbb{F}), ext{ with } m_0 = 1.$$

In particular, $|\mathcal{R}| = \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_i^2$.

Theorem (W-L, H)

A scheme of rank less than 6 is commutative.

BM-algebra of an association scheme.

Theorem (B. Weisfeiler & A. Leman, D. Higman)

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ be a scheme. It's BM-algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is semisimple if char $(\mathbb{F}) = 0$. If, in addition, \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed, then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}\cong \oplus_{i=0}^k M_{m_i}(\mathbb{F}), ext{ with } m_0=1.$$

In particular,
$$|\mathcal{R}| = \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_i^2$$
.

Theorem (W-L, H)

A scheme of rank less than 6 is commutative.

Corollary

A BM-algebra of a non-commutative rank six scheme over algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic zero is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathbb{F} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F})$.

Theorem

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, ..., R_5\})$ be a non-commutative rank six association scheme of order *n*. Let $\mathcal{A} := \langle A_0, ..., A_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ be BM-algebra of \mathfrak{X} defined over the reals. Then

∃ an algebra isomorphism Θ : A → ℝ ⊕ ℝ ⊕ M₂(ℝ);
 Θ(A^T) = Θ(A)^T;
 A_i^T = A_i if 0 ≤ i ≤ 3 and A₄^T = A₅.

Thus $\Theta(A) = (\delta(A), \phi(A), B(A))$ where δ, ϕ and B are three absolutely irreducible real representations of A. In what follows δ is a degree map $(\delta(A_i)$ equals the valency of R_i).

The image of the standard basis

The elements $b_i := \Theta(A_i) = (\delta_i, \phi_i, B_i), i = 0, ..., 5$ form a basis of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R}) := \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus M_2(\mathbf{R})$ s.t.

- **1** $b_0 = (1, 1, I_2)$ is the identity of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R})$;
- **2** $b_1^{\top} = b_1, b_2^{\top} = b_2, b_3^{\top} = b_3, b_4^{\top} = b_5, b_5^{\top} = b_4;$
- the structure constants p^k_{ij} of the basis B are non-negative integers;

4
$$p_{ij}^0 = 0$$
 if $b_i^\top \neq b_j$ and δ_i otherwise.

The image of the standard basis

The elements $b_i := \Theta(A_i) = (\delta_i, \phi_i, B_i), i = 0, ..., 5$ form a basis of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R}) := \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus M_2(\mathbf{R})$ s.t.

- **1** $b_0 = (1, 1, I_2)$ is the identity of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R})$;
- **2** $b_1^{\top} = b_1, b_2^{\top} = b_2, b_3^{\top} = b_3, b_4^{\top} = b_5, b_5^{\top} = b_4;$
- the structure constants p^k_{ij} of the basis B are non-negative integers;

4
$$p_{ij}^0 = 0$$
 if $b_i^\top \neq b_j$ and δ_i otherwise.

A basis satisfying 1,2,4 is called a reality basis (H.Blau) of A. The number $\delta_0 + ... + \delta_5$ is called degree/order of **B**.

The elements $b_i := \Theta(A_i) = (\delta_i, \phi_i, B_i), i = 0, ..., 5$ form a basis of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R}) := \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus M_2(\mathbf{R})$ s.t.

- **1** $b_0 = (1, 1, I_2)$ is the identity of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R})$;
- **2** $b_1^{\top} = b_1, b_2^{\top} = b_2, b_3^{\top} = b_3, b_4^{\top} = b_5, b_5^{\top} = b_4;$
- the structure constants p^k_{ij} of the basis B are non-negative integers;

4
$$p_{ij}^0 = 0$$
 if $b_i^\top \neq b_j$ and δ_i otherwise.

A basis satisfying 1,2,4 is called a reality basis (H.Blau) of A. The number $\delta_0 + ... + \delta_5$ is called degree/order of **B**.

A reality basis is called a table basis (Z. Arad and H. Blau) if p_{ij}^k are non-negative reals.

The elements $b_i := \Theta(A_i) = (\delta_i, \phi_i, B_i), i = 0, ..., 5$ form a basis of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R}) := \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus M_2(\mathbf{R})$ s.t.

- **1** $b_0 = (1, 1, I_2)$ is the identity of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbf{R})$;
- **2** $b_1^{\top} = b_1, b_2^{\top} = b_2, b_3^{\top} = b_3, b_4^{\top} = b_5, b_5^{\top} = b_4;$
- the structure constants p^k_{ij} of the basis B are non-negative integers;

4
$$p_{ij}^0 = 0$$
 if $b_i^\top \neq b_j$ and δ_i otherwise.

A basis satisfying 1,2,4 is called a reality basis (H.Blau) of A. The number $\delta_0 + ... + \delta_5$ is called degree/order of **B**.

A reality basis is called a table basis (Z. Arad and H. Blau) if p_{ij}^k are non-negative reals.

A reality basis is called integral iff all p_{ii}^k are integers.

Definition

Two table bases **B** and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ are equivalent if there exists a ^{\top}-permutable automorphism φ of $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathbf{B}^{\varphi} = \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$.

Two tables bases $\mathbf{B}, \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$ of \mathcal{A} are equivalent iff there exists a permutation φ of $\{0, 1, ..., d\}$ which commutes with $^{\top}$ and satisfies s.t. $\tilde{p}_{ij}^k = p_{\varphi(i),\varphi(j)}^{\varphi(k)}$ for all i, j, k.

Problem

Given a number *n*, find all integral table bases of order *n* (up to equivalency) of the algebra $M_{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R})$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong M_{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ has three irreducible characters δ, ϕ and $\chi(A) := \operatorname{tr}(B(A))$. The standard character of \mathcal{A} : $\tau(A) := \operatorname{tr}(A)$. $\tau = \delta + m_{\phi}\phi + m_{\chi}\chi$, where m_{ϕ} and m_{χ} are the multiplicities.

The algebra $\mathcal{A} \cong M_{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ has three irreducible characters δ, ϕ and $\chi(A) := \operatorname{tr}(B(A))$. The standard character of \mathcal{A} : $\tau(A) := \operatorname{tr}(A)$. $\tau = \delta + m_{\phi}\phi + m_{\chi}\chi$, where m_{ϕ} and m_{χ} are the multiplicities.

The character table

	b_0	b_1	<i>b</i> ₂	<i>b</i> ₃	<i>b</i> 4	<i>b</i> 5
δ	1	δ_1	δ_2	δ_3	δ_4	$\delta_5 = \delta_4$
ϕ	1	ϕ_1	ϕ_2	ϕ_{3}	ϕ_4	$\phi_5 = \phi_4$
χ	2	χ_1	χ2	X3	χ_4	$\chi_5 = \chi_4$

Orthogonality relations

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Orthogonality relations

Row orthogonality

$$1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + 2\delta_4 = n$$

$$1 + \frac{\phi_1^2}{\delta_1} + \frac{\phi_2^2}{\delta_2} + \frac{\phi_3^2}{\delta_3} + 2\frac{\phi_4^2}{\delta_4} = \frac{n}{m_{\phi}}$$

$$4 + \frac{\chi_1^2}{\delta_1} + \frac{\chi_2^2}{\delta_2} + \frac{\chi_3^2}{\delta_3} + 2\frac{\chi_4^2}{\delta_4} = 2\frac{n}{m_{\chi}}$$

$$1 + \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3 + 2\phi_4 = 0$$

$$2 + \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + 2\chi_4 = 0$$

$$2 + \frac{\phi_1\chi_1}{\delta_1} + \frac{\phi_2\chi_2}{\delta_2} + \frac{\phi_3\chi_3}{\delta_3} + 2\frac{\phi_4\chi_4}{\delta_4} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Orthogonality relations

Row orthogonality

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}+2\delta_{4}&=&n\\ 1+\frac{\phi_{1}^{2}}{\delta_{1}}+\frac{\phi_{2}^{2}}{\delta_{2}}+\frac{\phi_{3}^{2}}{\delta_{3}}+2\frac{\phi_{4}^{2}}{\delta_{4}}&=&\frac{n}{m_{\phi}}\\ 4+\frac{\chi_{1}^{2}}{\delta_{1}}+\frac{\chi_{2}^{2}}{\delta_{2}}+\frac{\chi_{3}^{2}}{\delta_{3}}+2\frac{\chi_{4}^{2}}{\delta_{4}}&=&2\frac{n}{m_{\chi}}\\ 1+\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}+\phi_{3}+2\phi_{4}&=&0\\ 2+\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}+\chi_{3}+2\chi_{4}&=&0\\ 2+\frac{\phi_{1}\chi_{1}}{\delta_{1}}+\frac{\phi_{2}\chi_{2}}{\delta_{2}}+\frac{\phi_{3}\chi_{3}}{\delta_{3}}+2\frac{\phi_{4}\chi_{4}}{\delta_{4}}&=&0\end{array}$$

Column orthogonality

$$1 + m_{\phi} + 2m_{\chi} = n;$$

$$\forall_{i=1,\dots,5} \quad \delta_i + m_{\phi}\phi_i + m_{\chi}\chi_i = 0$$

メロトメロトメミトメミト ミー りへで

Necessary conditions

Proposition

If **B** is an integral table basis, then $\delta_i, \phi_i, \chi_i, i = 1, ...4$ are integers and

1
$$\forall_i \ \delta_i > 0;$$

$$|\phi_i| \le \delta_i, |\chi_i| \le 2\delta_i.$$

Proposition

If the table basis comes from a scheme, then the multiplicities m_{ϕ}, m_{χ} are positive integers.

Proposition

The set $\{\phi_i/\delta_i\}_{i=1}^4$ contains at least two numbers. If it contains exactly two numbers, then the center of \mathcal{A} is a BM-algebra of a rank three fusion scheme \mathfrak{X} .

Proposition

The numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ determine the character table T of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{B})$ uniquely.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Proposition

The numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ determine the character table T of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{B})$ uniquely.

Theorem

Given the character table T of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{B})$, there exists a unique (up to an equivalency) reality basis \mathbf{B} with that character table. In particular, the numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ determine the structure constants of the BM-algebra \mathcal{A} .

Proposition

The numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ determine the character table T of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{B})$ uniquely.

Theorem

Given the character table T of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{B})$, there exists a unique (up to an equivalency) reality basis \mathbf{B} with that character table. In particular, the numbers $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ determine the structure constants of the BM-algebra \mathcal{A} .

We have enumerated all tuples $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ which provide a character table T with integral entries. Then for each character table T we construct a table basis **B** and check whether the structure constants w.r.t. **B** are non-negative integers. We obtained all feasible parameters of non-commutative schemes of rank six up to order 150. Among them four parameter sets for primitive schemes were found.

Ν	n	δ, ϕ	(m_ϕ, m_χ)
1	81	[10, 10, 20, 20], [1, 1, -7, 2]	(20, 30)
2	96	[19, 19, 19, 19], [-5, -5, 3, 3]	(19, 38)
3	96	[19, 19, 19, 19], [3, 3, 3, -5]	(19, 38)
4	120	[17, 17, 51, 17], [-3, -3, 3, 1]	(51, 34)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Theorem

There is only one feasible parameter set corresponding to primitive non-commutative rank six scheme of order ≤ 150 . It has order 81 and the valencies 1, 10, 10, 20, 20, 20.

Proof. The second and third algebras have rank three fusion scheme with degrees 1, 38, 57. According to Brouwer's table an SRG with such parameters doesn't exists.

The last algebra violates the condition $p_{ij}^i \delta_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ whenever i' = i, j' = j.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Problem

Find an example of a primitive non-commutative association scheme of rank 6 (if it exists).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Problem

Find an example of a primitive non-commutative association scheme of rank 6 (if it exists).

Problem

Find an example of a schurian primitive non-commutative association scheme of rank 6 (if it exists).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Problem

Find an example of a primitive non-commutative association scheme of rank 6 (if it exists).

Problem

Find an example of a schurian primitive non-commutative association scheme of rank 6 (if it exists).

Lemma (Munemasa)

There is no schurian primitive association schemes of rank 6 with less than 1600 points.



Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group, $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ its 2-orbit scheme.

Schurian case

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group, $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ its 2-orbit scheme.

Proposition

 \mathfrak{X} is a non-commutative rank six scheme iff $\mathbf{1}_{G_{\mathbf{X}}}^{G} = \mathbf{1}_{G} + \alpha + 2\beta$ where α, β are distinct irreducible characters of G.

Schurian case

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group, $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ its 2-orbit scheme.

Proposition

 \mathfrak{X} is a non-commutative rank six scheme iff $\mathbf{1}_{G_{x}}^{G} = \mathbf{1}_{G} + \alpha + 2\beta$ where α, β are distinct irreducible characters of G.

Problem

Classify all transitive (primitive) permutation groups $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ satisfying $1_{G_x}^G = 1_G + \alpha + 2\beta$.

Schurian case

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a transitive permutation group, $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \mathcal{R})$ its 2-orbit scheme.

Proposition

 \mathfrak{X} is a non-commutative rank six scheme iff $\mathbf{1}_{G_x}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{G}} + \alpha + 2\beta$ where α, β are distinct irreducible characters of \mathcal{G} .

Problem

Classify all transitive (primitive) permutation groups $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ satisfying $1_{G_x}^G = 1_G + \alpha + 2\beta$.

Theorem (M. Conder and V. Jones)

If \mathfrak{X} has rank 6 and admits two closed subsets $I, J \subseteq \{0, 1, ..., 5\}$ such that $R_I R_J \neq R_J R_I$, then either \mathfrak{X} is a thin scheme isomorphic to S_3 or \mathfrak{X} is a flag scheme of a Desarguesian plane.

Central rank of a permutation group

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a permutation group, $\Pi : \mathbb{C}[G] \to M_X(\mathbb{C})$ is a corresponding representation of G, $\mathfrak{X} = (X, \{R_0, R_1, ..., R_d\})$ is the 2-orbit scheme of G, \mathcal{A} is the BM-algebra of \mathfrak{X} .

Theorem (H. Wielandt)

$$\mathcal{A} \cap \Pi(\mathbb{C}[G]) = Z(\mathcal{A}) = \Pi(Z(\mathbb{C}[G])).$$

In what follows we call $\dim(Z(\mathcal{A}))$ the central rank of G and denote as c-rank(G).

Proposition

- The central rank of a permutation group is equal to the number of irreducible constituents in the decomposition of Π;
- 2 2 ≤ c-rank(G) ≤ rank(G) where the equality holds iff Π is multiplicity free.

Central rank of a permutation group

It is well-known that $H \leq G \implies rank(H) \geq rank(G)$. But $H \leq G \implies c-rank(H) \geq c-rank(G)$. For example, take H to be a regular subgroup of S_6 isomorphic to S_3 and G to be $\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_2$ in imprimitive action. Then c-rank(H) = 3 while c-rank(G) = 4.
Central rank of a permutation group

It is well-known that $H \leq G \implies rank(H) \geq rank(G)$. But $H \leq G \not\implies c-rank(H) \geq c-rank(G)$. For example, take H to be a regular subgroup of S_6 isomorphic to S_3 and G to be $\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_2$ in imprimitive action. Then c-rank(H) = 3 while c-rank(G) = 4.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{P} be *G*-invariant partition of *X*. Then $c-rank(G^{\mathcal{P}}) \leq c-rank(G)$.

Central rank of a permutation group

It is well-known that $H \leq G \implies rank(H) \geq rank(G)$. But $H \leq G \not\implies c-rank(H) \geq c-rank(G)$. For example, take H to be a regular subgroup of S_6 isomorphic to S_3 and G to be $\mathbb{Z}_3 \wr \mathbb{Z}_2$ in imprimitive action. Then c-rank(H) = 3 while c-rank(G) = 4.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{P} be *G*-invariant partition of *X*. Then $c-rank(G^{\mathcal{P}}) \leq c-rank(G)$.

Theorem

If G is transitive and c-rank(G) = 2 then rank(G) = 2, that is G acts 2-transitively on X.

The result is not true if G is intransitive (for example, $c-rank(S_2 \boxplus S_2) = 2$, but $rank(S_2 \boxplus S_2) = 8$).

Primitive permutation group of central rank three

Each rank three group is a c-rank three group, but not versa.

Example

The group $PGL_3(q)$ acting on the flags of the projective plane has rank six and *c*-rank three .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Each rank three group is a c-rank three group, but not versa.

Example

The group $PGL_3(q)$ acting on the flags of the projective plane has rank six and *c*-rank three .

Theorem

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a primitive group of *c*-*rank* three. If rank(G) > 3, then the socle of *G* is a non-abelian simple group.

Each rank three group is a c-rank three group, but not versa.

Example

The group $PGL_3(q)$ acting on the flags of the projective plane has rank six and *c*-rank three .

Theorem

Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be a primitive group of *c*-*rank* three. If rank(G) > 3, then the socle of *G* is a non-abelian simple group.

Theorem

If one of the groups A_n , S_n has a primitive action with central rank three, then the rank of this action is also three.

Thank you!

◆□ → < @ → < E → < E → ○ < ♡ < ♡</p>