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Definition, notation
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The independence polynomial of a graph $G$ is

$$
I(G, x)=\sum_{A \in \mathcal{F}(G)} x^{|A|}=\sum_{k \geq 0} i_{k}(G) x^{k},
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is the set of independent subsets of the vertices of $G$. E.g.


$$
I(G, x)=1+5 x+3 x^{2}
$$

## Notation

For a generater function $f(x)=\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{k} x^{k}$, we will use the following notation

$$
\left[x^{k}\right] f(x)=a_{k}
$$

to denote the coefficient of $x^{k}$ in $f(x)$.

## Unimodality

A sequence $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$is

1. unimodal, if $\exists k \in\{0, \ldots n\}$, such that

$$
b_{0} \leq b_{1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{k-1} \leq b_{k} \geq b_{k+1} \geq \cdots \geq b_{n}
$$

2. log-concave, if $\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$

$$
b_{i}^{2} \geq b_{i-1} b_{i+1}
$$

Lemma (Newton)
If $p(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_{i} x^{i}$ has only real zeros, then the sequence $\left(b_{k}\right)_{k=0}^{n}$ is log-concave, therefore unimodal.

Unimodality on the independent subsets of the graphs

Question: Are the coefficients of $I(G, x)$ form an unimodal sequence, if

| $G$ is ...? | Answer: |
| :--- | :--- |
| connected | No |
| bipartite (Levit, Mandrescu) | No (Bhattacharyya, Kahn) |
| tree (Alavi et al.) | Open |
| line graph | Yes |
| claw-free graph | Yes |
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## Unimodality on the independent subsets of the graphs

Question: Are the coefficients of $I(G, x)$ form an unimodal sequence, if

| $G$ is ...? | Answer: |
| :--- | :--- |
| connected | No |
| bipartite (Levit, Mandrescu) | No (Bhattacharyya, Kahn) |
| tree (Alavi et al.) | Open |
| line graph | Yes, moreover real-rooted (Heilmann, Lieb) |
| claw-free graph | Yes, moreover real-rooted (Chudnovsky, Seymour) |

Question(Galvin, Hilyard): Which trees have independence polynomial with only real zeros?

## Stable-path tree

Let $u$ be a fixed vertex of $G$, and choose a total ordering $\prec$ on $V(G)$.
Then the rooted tree ( $T(G, u), \bar{u})$ defined as follows:
Let $N(u)=\left\{u_{1} \prec \cdots \prec u_{d}\right\}$ and

$$
G^{i}=G\left[V(G) \backslash\left\{u, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{i-1}\right\}\right]
$$
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Properties of the stable-path tree

Theorem (Scott, Sokal, Weitz)
Let $G$ be a graph and $u \in V(G)$ be fixed. Then if $T=T(G, u)$, then

$$
\frac{I(G-u, x)}{I(G, x)}=\frac{I(T-\bar{u}, x)}{I(T, x)} .
$$
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Properties of the stable-path tree

Theorem (Scott, Sokal, Weitz)
Let $G$ be a graph and $u \in V(G)$ be fixed. Then if $T=T(G, u)$, then

$$
\frac{I(G-u, x)}{I(G, x)}=\frac{I(T-\bar{u}, x)}{I(T, x)}
$$

Corollary

1. There exists a subtree $\bar{F}$ in $T$ such that

$$
I(G, x)=\frac{I(T, x)}{I(T-\bar{F}, x)}
$$

2. There exists a sequence of induced subgraphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ of $G$, such that

$$
I(T, x)=I(G, x) I\left(G_{1}, x\right) \ldots I\left(G_{k}, x\right)
$$

## Real-rooted independence polynomials

Theorem (Chudnovsky, Seymour)
Any zero of the independence polynomial of a claw-free graph is real. (claw-free=graph without induced $K_{1,3}$.)

## Real-rooted independence polynomials

Theorem (Chudnovsky, Seymour)
Any zero of the independence polynomial of a claw-free graph is real. (claw-free=graph without induced $K_{1,3}$.)

Theorem
Let $G$ be a claw-free graph and $u \in V(G)$. Then $I(T(G, u), x)$ is real-rooted.
Moreover $I(G, x)$ divides $I(T(G, u), x)$.
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For a tree $T$, call a claw-free graph witness, if there is an ordering of its vertices, such that the resulting stable-path tree is isomorphic to $T$.
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For a tree $T$, call a claw-free graph witness, if there is an ordering of its vertices, such that the resulting stable-path tree is isomorphic to $T$. The witness is the following graph
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As it turns out with a good ordering on the vertices the corresponding stable-path tree will be the $n$th caterpillar.

The $M_{n}$ graph family

$M_{n}$ when $n$ is even.

$M_{n}$ when $n$ is odd.

Proposition: It has real-rooted independence polynomial. (Wang, Zhu)
As it turns out with a good ordering on the vertices the corresponding stable-path tree will be the $n$th caterpillar. So

$$
I\left(M_{n}, x\right) \mid I\left(H_{n}, x\right)
$$

and we already seen that $I\left(H_{n}, x\right)$ has only real zeros, therefore $I\left(M_{n}, x\right)$ has only real zeros.
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## Other real-rooted families

Trees:

- Centipede (Zhu)
- $k$-ary analogue of the Fibbonaci trees (definition by S. Wagner)

Graphs:

- Sunlet graph (Wang, Zhu)
- Ladder graph (Zhu, Lu)
- Polyphenil ortho-chain (Alikhani, Jafari)


Are they the answer?

Is there a tree with real-rooted independence polynomial, such that it is not a stable-path tree of other then itself?

Are they the answer?

Is there a tree with real-rooted independence polynomial, such that it is not a stable-path tree of other then itself?

Yes. E.g.:


$$
I(T, x)=(1+x)\left(1+8 x+20 x^{2}+16 x^{3}+x^{4}\right)
$$
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## Dictionary

| Finite graphs | Infinite (rooted) graphs |
| :---: | :---: |
| zeros of a polynomial | measure on the complex plane |
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The main ingredient which enables us to move between the two "worlds", is the "localization". For any graph $G$, the coefficient

$$
\left[x^{k}\right] \frac{I(G-u,-x)}{I(G,-x)}
$$

depends only on the $k-1$-neighborhood of $u$ in $G$, moreover it is a positive integer.

## Infinite binary tree

Theorem
For the rooted binary tree (or 3-regular tree) there exists a measure $\mu$ on the real line, such that
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Theorem
For the rooted binary tree (or 3-regular tree) there exists a measure $\mu$ on the real line, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} d \mu=\left[x^{k}\right] \frac{I(T-r,-x)}{I(T,-x)} \\
& =\frac{1}{k}\binom{3 k}{k-1}
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## Infinite binary tree

Theorem
For the rooted binary tree (or 3-regular tree) there exists a measure $\mu$ on the real line, such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{k} d \mu=\left[x^{k}\right] \frac{I(T-r,-x)}{I(T,-x)} \\
=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{i}{k}\binom{3 k}{k-i}
\end{array}
$$
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