On some problems in combinatorics, graph theory and finite geometries

Felix Lazebnik

University of Delaware, USA

August 8, 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

My plan for today:



My plan for today:

- 1. Maximum number of λ -colorings of (v, e)-graphs
- 2. Covering finite vector space by hyperplanes
- 3. Figures in finite projective planes
- 4. Hamiltonian cycles and weak pancyclicity

Problem Let v, e, λ be positive integers.

What is the maximum number

 $f(v, e, \lambda)$

of proper vertex colorings in (at most) λ colors a graph with v vertices and e edges can have?

On which graphs is this maximum attained?

Problem Let v, e, λ be positive integers.

What is the maximum number

 $f(v, e, \lambda)$

of proper vertex colorings in (at most) λ colors a graph with v vertices and e edges can have?

On which graphs is this maximum attained?

The question can be rephrases as the question on maximizing $\chi(G, \lambda)$ over all graphs with v vertices and e edges.

This problem was stated independently by Wilf (82) and Linial (86), and is still largely unsolved.

For every (v, e)-graph G, color its vertices uniformly at random in at most λ colors. What is the maximum probability that a graph is colored properly? On which graph we have the greatest chance to succeed?

$$\operatorname{Prob}(G \text{ is colored properly}) = \frac{\chi(G, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\nu}}$$
$$\max\{\operatorname{Prob}(G \text{ is colored properly})\} = \frac{f(\nu, e, \lambda)}{\lambda^{\nu}}$$

Problem. Is it true that there exists p_0 such that

$$f(2p, p^2, \lambda) = \chi(K_{p,p}, \lambda)$$

for all $p \ge p_0$ and all $\lambda \ge 2$, and $K_{p,p}$ is the only extremal graph?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Problem. Is it true that there exists p_0 such that

$$f(2p, p^2, \lambda) = \chi(K_{p,p}, \lambda)$$

for all $p \ge p_0$ and all $\lambda \ge 2$, and $K_{p,p}$ is the only extremal graph?

Known to be true for $\lambda = 2, 3, 4$, and $\lambda \ge p^5$.

What if $5 \le \lambda < p^5$???

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

$$f(2p, p^2, 2) = \chi(K_{p,p}, 2). - \text{trivial.}$$

 $f(2p, p^2, \lambda) = \chi(K_{p,p}, \lambda) \text{ if } \lambda \ge p^5. - \text{FL (91)}$

$$\begin{split} f(2p, p^2, 2) &= \chi(K_{p,p}, 2). - \text{trivial.} \\ f(2p, p^2, \lambda) &= \chi(K_{p,p}, \lambda) \text{ if } \lambda \geq p^5. - \text{FL (91)} \\ f(2p, p^2, 3) &= \chi(K_{p,p}, 3). \\ f(2p, p^2, 4) &\sim \chi(K_{p,p}, 4) \sim (6 + o(1))4^p, \text{ as } p \to \infty. \\ \text{FL - O. Pikhurko - A. Woldar (07)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} f(2p, p^2, 2) &= \chi(K_{p,p}, 2). - \text{trivial.} \\ f(2p, p^2, \lambda) &= \chi(K_{p,p}, \lambda) \text{ if } \lambda \geq p^5. - \text{FL (91)} \\ f(2p, p^2, 3) &= \chi(K_{p,p}, 3). \\ f(2p, p^2, 4) &\sim \chi(K_{p,p}, 4) \sim (6 + o(1))4^p, \text{ as } p \to \infty. \\ \text{FL - O. Pikhurko - A. Woldar (07)} \end{split}$$

 $f(2p, p^2, 4) = \chi(K_{p,p}, 4)$ for all sufficiently large p. – S. Norine (11).

$$f(2p, p^{2}, 2) = \chi(K_{p,p}, 2). - \text{trivial.}$$

$$f(2p, p^{2}, \lambda) = \chi(K_{p,p}, \lambda) \text{ if } \lambda \ge p^{5}. - \text{FL (91)}$$

$$f(2p, p^{2}, 3) = \chi(K_{p,p}, 3).$$

$$f(2p, p^{2}, 4) \sim \chi(K_{p,p}, 4) \sim (6 + o(1))4^{p}, \text{ as } p \to \infty.$$
FL - O. Pikhurko - A. Woldar (07)

 $f(2p, p^2, 4) = \chi(K_{p,p}, 4)$ for all sufficiently large p. – S. Norine (11).

$$f(2p, p^2, 4) = \chi(K_{p,p}, 4)$$
 for all $p \ge 2$. – S. Tofts (13).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Known:

- ► f(v, e, 2) : FL (89)
- ► *f*(*v*, *e*, 3): bounds

FL (89, 90, 91), R. Liu (93), K. Dohmen (93, 98), X.B. Chen (96), O. Byer (98), I. Simonelli (08), S. Norine (11)

• For $0 \le e \le v^2/4$, it was conjectured (FL (91)) that

$$f(v,e,3) = \chi(K_{a,b,p},3),$$

where $K_{a,b,p}$ is semi-complete bipartite graph: v = a + b + 1, e = ab + p, $0 \le p \le a \le b$.

It was proven for sufficiently large *e* by P.-S. Loh - O. Pikhurko - B. Sudakov (10)

Let
$$e = e(T_{r,v}) =: t_{r,v}$$
.

► If $\lambda \ge 2\binom{t_{r,v}}{3} + 1$, then $f(v, t_{r,v}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{r,v}, \lambda)$, and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. – FL (91)

Let
$$e = e(T_{r,v}) =: t_{r,v}$$
.

▶ If $\lambda \ge 2\binom{t_{r,v}}{3} + 1$, then $f(v, t_{r,v}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{r,v}, \lambda)$, and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. - FL (91)

• Fix $r \geq 3$. For all sufficiently large v ,

$$f(v, t_{r,v}, r+1) = \chi(T_{r,v}, r+1),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. P.-S. Loh - O. Pikhurko - B. Sudakov (10)

Let
$$e = e(T_{r,v}) =: t_{r,v}$$
.

► If $\lambda \ge 2\binom{t_{r,v}}{3} + 1$, then $f(v, t_{r,v}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{r,v}, \lambda)$, and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. - FL (91)

• Fix $r \ge 3$. For all sufficiently large v ,

$$f(v, t_{r,v}, r+1) = \chi(T_{r,v}, r+1),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. P.-S. Loh - O. Pikhurko - B. Sudakov (10)

Fix r ≥ 2. For <u>all v</u> (v ≥ r),
 f(v, t_{r,v}, r + 1) = χ(T_{r,v}, r + 1),
 and T_{r,v} is the only extremal graph. − FL - S.Tofts (10)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Fix λ and r so that λ > r ≥ 2 and <u>r divides λ</u>. For all sufficiently large v,

$$f(\mathbf{v}, t_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. – S. Norine (11)

Conjecture: For all λ and r, $\lambda \ge r \ge 2$,

$$f(\mathbf{v}, t_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. – FL (87)

Fix λ and r so that λ > r ≥ 2 and <u>r divides λ</u>. For all sufficiently large v,

$$f(\mathbf{v}, t_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. – S. Norine (11)

Conjecture: For all λ and r, $\lambda \ge r \ge 2$,

$$f(\mathbf{v}, t_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph. – FL (87)

► For fixed sufficiently large r and λ , $\lambda \ge 100 \frac{r^2}{\log r}$, $T_{r,v}$ is asymptotically extremal:

 $f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t}_{r, \mathbf{v}}, \lambda) \sim \chi(T_{r, \mathbf{v}}, \lambda), \ \mathbf{v} \to \infty.$

J. Ma - H. Naves (15)

► For fixed sufficiently large r and λ , $\lambda \ge 100 \frac{r^2}{\log r}$, $T_{r,v}$ is asymptotically extremal:

$$f(\mathbf{v}, t_{r,\mathbf{v}}, \lambda) \sim \chi(T_{r,\mathbf{v}}, \lambda), \ \mathbf{v} \to \infty.$$

J. Ma - H. Naves (15)

The Conjecture is FALSE! – J. Ma - H. Naves (15)

• (i) For any integers $r \ge$ 50000, there exists λ such that

$$19r \le \lambda \le \frac{r^2}{200 \log r} - r,$$

and the Conjecture is false.

(ii) If $13 \le r+3 \le \lambda \le 2r-7$, the Conjecture is false.

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 → のへで

 \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field of $q = p^e$ elements, p is prime.

 $e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n$ – the <u>standard</u> basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

 $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n - \underline{any}$ basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

For $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, x^{\perp} is the orthogonal complement of x in \mathbb{F}_q^n with respect to the standard inner product in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Rename the sequence

$$e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$$

as

$$b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}, \ldots, b_{2n}$$

 \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field of $q = p^e$ elements, p is prime.

 $e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n$ – the <u>standard</u> basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

 $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n - \underline{any}$ basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

For $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, x^{\perp} is the orthogonal complement of x in \mathbb{F}_q^n with respect to the standard inner product in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Rename the sequence

$$e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$$

as

$$b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}, \ldots, b_{2n}$$

Problem: Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{\perp} = \mathbb{F}_q^n ?$$

 \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field of $q = p^e$ elements, p is prime.

 $e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n$ – the <u>standard</u> basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

 $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n - \underline{any}$ basis of \mathbb{F}_q^n .

For $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, x^{\perp} is the orthogonal complement of x in \mathbb{F}_q^n with respect to the standard inner product in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Rename the sequence

$$e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$$

as

$$b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n, b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}, \ldots, b_{2n}$$

Problem: Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{\perp} = \mathbb{F}_q^n ?$$

N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89)

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_q , q is a prime power.

A vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called **good for** A, or **nowhere-zero for** A, if both x and Ax have no zero components. If x is good for A, we also say that A has a good vector x.

Question (F. Jaeger (81)):

Consider an *n*-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_5 . Is it true that for any two bases B_1 and B_2 , there exists a hyperplane H which is disjoint from $B_1 \cup B_2$?

The question is equivalent to the following:

Does every $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_5)$, $n \geq 3$, have a good vector?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that every $A \in GL(n, q)$ has a good vector?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that every $A \in GL(n, q)$ has a good vector?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{\perp} = \mathbb{F}_q^n$$
?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that every $A \in GL(n,q)$ has a good vector?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{\perp} = \mathbb{F}_q^n ?$$

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that for any two bases B_1 and B_2 of \mathbb{F}_q^n , there exists a hyperplane H which is disjoint from $B_1 \cup B_2$?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that every $A \in GL(n, q)$ has a good vector?

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} b_i^{\perp} = \mathbb{F}_q^n ?$$

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q \ge 4$. Is it true that for any two bases B_1 and B_2 of \mathbb{F}_q^n , there exists a hyperplane H which is disjoint from $B_1 \cup B_2$?

YES, if the prime power *q* is NOT a prime! N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89).

What if q = p is prime?

A - T Conjecture N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89):

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q = p \ge 4$. Then every $A \in GL(n, p)$ has a good vector.

What if q = p is prime?

A - T Conjecture N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89):

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q = p \ge 4$. Then every $A \in GL(n, p)$ has a good vector.

• A simple observation:

A - T Conjecture holds for primes p such that $4 \le n + 1 \le p$. R. Baker- J. Bonin - FL- E. Shustin (94)

What if q = p is prime?

A - T Conjecture N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89):

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q = p \ge 4$. Then every $A \in GL(n, p)$ has a good vector.

• A simple observation:

A - T Conjecture holds for primes p such that $4 \le n + 1 \le p$. R. Baker- J. Bonin - FL- E. Shustin (94)

• A not-so-simple result:

A - T Conjecture is true for primes p such that 4 \leq n \leq p. - G. Kirkup (08)

What if q = p is prime?

A - T Conjecture N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89):

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q = p \ge 4$. Then every $A \in GL(n, p)$ has a good vector.

• A simple observation:

A - T Conjecture holds for primes p such that $4 \le n + 1 \le p$. R. Baker- J. Bonin - FL- E. Shustin (94)

• A not-so-simple result:

A - T Conjecture is true for primes p such that $4 \le n \le p$. - G. Kirkup (08)

What happens if when n > p?

What if q = p is prime?

A - T Conjecture N. Alon - M. Tarsi (89):

Let $n \ge 3$ and $q = p \ge 4$. Then every $A \in GL(n, p)$ has a good vector.

• A simple observation:

A - T Conjecture holds for primes p such that $4 \le n + 1 \le p$. R. Baker- J. Bonin - FL- E. Shustin (94)

• A not-so-simple result:

A - T Conjecture is true for primes p such that $4 \le n \le p$. - G. Kirkup (08)

What happens if when n > p?

• If A is chosen uniformly from $GL(n, \mathbb{F}_p)$, A - T Conjecture holds almost surely as $n \to \infty$. (N. Alon - unpublished)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

• A - T Conjecture holds if $n \le 2^{p-2} - 1$. - Y. Yu (99)

So it is true for

 $p = 5 \text{ and } n \le 7,$ $p = 7 \text{ and } n \le 31,$ $p = 11 \text{ and } n \le 511.$
Y. Yu proved a more general statement: there exists x with no zero components such that Ax has at most $n/2^{p-2}$ components.

Y. Yu proved a more general statement: there exists x with no zero components such that Ax has at most $n/2^{p-2}$ components.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

What if $n \ge 2^{p-2}$? E.g., p = 5, $n \ge 8$?

Maybe another approach can be tried...

Y. Yu proved a more general statement: there exists x with no zero components such that Ax has at most $n/2^{p-2}$ components.

What if $n \ge 2^{p-2}$? E.g., $p = 5, n \ge 8$?

Maybe another approach can be tried...

Let P(A, q) denote the number of good vectors of A.

Recall that for each $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we have two bases of \mathbb{F}_q^n :

 $\{b_i = e_i, i = 1..., n\}$ and $\{b_{n+i} = a_i, i = 1, ..., n\},\$

where a_i is the *i*-th row of *A*. Therefore

$$P(A,q) = \left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{2n} b_j^{\perp} \right|.$$

Fix q and n. What is the minimum value of P(A, q) over all $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$?

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Fix q and n. What is the minimum value of P(A, q) over all $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$?

Let n = 2k. Consider the following matrix:

where $A_i \in GL(2, q)$ with no zero entries. Note that

$$P(A^*,q) = [(q-1)(q-3)]^k$$

R. Baker - J. Bonin - FL- E. Shustin (94)

For $n = 2k \ge 4$ and $q \ge 2\binom{2n}{3} + 1$

 $P(A,q) \geq P(A^{\star},q),$

with the equality if and only if A can be transformed to A^* by some permutations of its rows and columns.

R. Baker - J. Bonin - FL- E. Shustin (94)

For $n = 2k \ge 4$ and $q \ge 2\binom{2n}{3} + 1$

 $P(A,q) \geq P(A^{\star},q),$

with the equality if and only if A can be transformed to A^* by some permutations of its rows and columns.

Recall a result on the maximum number of colorings :

If $\lambda \geq 2\binom{t_{r,v}}{3} + 1$, then

$$f(\mathbf{v}, t_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda) = \chi(T_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v}}, \lambda),$$

and $T_{r,v}$ is the only extremal graph.

To show the extremality of the construction, in both cases of

 $\chi(G,\lambda)$ and P(A,q),

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

the Whitney's Broken Circuits Theorem was used.

To show the extremality of the construction, in both cases of

 $\chi(G,\lambda)$ and P(A,q),

the Whitney's Broken Circuits Theorem was used.

QUESTION: Is it true that for $n = 2k \ge 4$ and every $q \ge 4$,

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{A},q)\geq\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{A}^{\star},q)=[(q-1)(q-3)]^k,$$

with the equality if and only if A can be brought to the form of A^* by some permutations of its rows and columns?

To show the extremality of the construction, in both cases of

 $\chi(G,\lambda)$ and P(A,q),

the Whitney's Broken Circuits Theorem was used.

QUESTION: Is it true that for $n = 2k \ge 4$ and every $q \ge 4$,

$$\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{A},q)\geq\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{A}^{\star},q)=[(q-1)(q-3)]^k,$$

with the equality if and only if A can be brought to the form of A^* by some permutations of its rows and columns?

Positive answer, of course, implies A - T Conjecture in a strong way.

What is a projective plane of order $r \ge 2$? We will denote it π_r .

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

What is a projective plane of order $r \ge 2$? We will denote it π_r .

 Axiomatic definition as a incidentce system on points and lines.

What is a projective plane of order $r \ge 2$? We will denote it π_r .

 Axiomatic definition as a incidentce system on points and lines.

• A $2 - (r^2 + r + 1, r + 1, 1)$ SBIBD.

What is a projective plane of order $r \ge 2$? We will denote it π_r .

- Axiomatic definition as a incidentce system on points and lines.
- A $2 (r^2 + r + 1, r + 1, 1)$ SBIBD.
- ► A bipartite (r + 1)-regular graph of diameter 3 and girth 6. Or, an incidence system of points and lines whose Levi graph is (r + 1)-regular graph of diameter 3 and girth 6.

A MODEL of a projective plane π_r :

- r = q prime power,
- Points: 1-dim subspaces (points) in F_q^3 ,
- Lines: 2-dim subspaces in F_q^3 ,
- Incidence: containment

This projective plane has order q, it is denoted by PG(2, q), and is called the **classical** plane of order q.

- π_r are known to exist for all r = q prime power.
- No example with r being not a prime power is known.
- For $q \ge 9$, there are non-classical π_q .
- For r = p prime, no example of a non-classical π_p is known.

A **partial plane** is an incidence system of points and lines such that any two distinct points are on at most one line.

The definition implies that in a partial plane any two distinct lines share at most one point.

A projective plane is a partial plane.

Levi graph of a partial plane is a bipartite graph without 4-cycles.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A **partial plane** is an incidence system of points and lines such that any two distinct points are on at most one line.

The definition implies that in a partial plane any two distinct lines share at most one point.

A projective plane is a partial plane.

Levi graph of a partial plane is a bipartite graph without 4-cycles.

We say that a partial plane π^1 can be **embedded** into a partial plane π^2 if there exists an injective map of the set of points of π^1 to the set of points of π^2 such that colinear points are mapped to colinear points.

Equivalently: Levi (π^1) is isomorphic to a subgraph of Levi (π^2) .

Problem: Given a finite partial plane π . Is there a finite projective plane π_r such that π can be embedded in π_r ?

Equivalently, given a finite bipartite graph without 4-cycles, is it isomorphic to a subgraph of the Levi graph of a finite projective plane?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

P. Erdős (79), D. Welsh (76), M. Hall (???)

Problem: Given a finite partial plane π . Is there a finite projective plane π_r such that π can be embedded in π_r ?

Equivalently, given a finite bipartite graph without 4-cycles, is it isomorphic to a subgraph of the Levi graph of a finite projective plane?

P. Erdős (79), D. Welsh (76), M. Hall (???)

Problem: Which partial planes are embeddable in every sufficiently large finite classical or finite non-classical projective plane?

• PG(2,2) = Fano (Heawood graph) ??? H. Neumann ?

Problem: Given a finite partial plane π . Is there a finite projective plane π_r such that π can be embedded in π_r ?

Equivalently, given a finite bipartite graph without 4-cycles, is it isomorphic to a subgraph of the Levi graph of a finite projective plane?

P. Erdős (79), D. Welsh (76), M. Hall (???)

Problem: Which partial planes are embeddable in every sufficiently large finite classical or finite non-classical projective plane?

- PG(2,2) = Fano (Heawood graph) ??? H. Neumann ?
- Desargues configuration can be found in every finite projective plane of order $r \ge 3$. T. Ostrom (56)

Problem: Given a finite partial plane π . Is there a finite projective plane π_r such that π can be embedded in π_r ?

Equivalently, given a finite bipartite graph without 4-cycles, is it isomorphic to a subgraph of the Levi graph of a finite projective plane?

P. Erdős (79), D. Welsh (76), M. Hall (???)

Problem: Which partial planes are embeddable in every sufficiently large finite classical or finite non-classical projective plane?

- PG(2,2) = Fano (Heawood graph) ??? H. Neumann ?
- Desargues configuration can be found in every finite projective plane of order $r \ge 3$. T. Ostrom (56)

• Can Pappus configuration be found in every finite projective plane of order $r \ge 3$???

• Does every π_r contain a k-gon for every k, $3 \le k \le r^2 + r + 1$?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

• Does every π_r contain a k-gon for every k, $3 \le k \le r^2 + r + 1$? YES! - FL - K. Mellinger - O. Vega (13)

A trivial thought: Suppose we have a 4-cycle-free (m, n)bipartite graph G which contains more copies of a certain subgraph H than any (m, n)-subgraph of the Levi graph of any π_r . Then H cannot be embedded in $Levi(\pi_r)$.

- Levi(π_r) has more edges than any other 4-cycle-free graph G with the same partition sizes. − I. Reiman (58)
- Levi(π_r) has more 6-cycles than any other 4-cycle-free graph
 G with the same partition sizes. FL G. Fiorini (98)
- Levi(π_r) has more 8-cycles than any other 4-cycle-free graph G with the same partition sizes if r ≥ 13. FL S. De Winter
 J. Verstraëte (08) What about 2k-cycles for k ≥ 5 ???

The problems above led to the question of counting the number of 2k-cycles in $Levi(\pi_r)$. Let $c_{2k}(\pi_r)$ denote the number of 2k-cycles in $Levi(\pi_r)$.

Explicit formuli for $c_{2k}(\pi_r)$ exists for k = 3, 4, 5, 6 - FL - K. Mellinger - O. Vega (09) k = 7, 8, 9, 10 - A. Voropaev (13)

In all these cases $c_{2k}(\pi_r)$ depend on k and on r <u>ONLY</u>!

The problems above led to the question of counting the number of 2k-cycles in $Levi(\pi_r)$. Let $c_{2k}(\pi_r)$ denote the number of 2k-cycles in $Levi(\pi_r)$.

Explicit formuli for $c_{2k}(\pi_r)$ exists for k = 3, 4, 5, 6 - FL - K. Mellinger - O. Vega (09) k = 7, 8, 9, 10 - A. Voropaev (13)

In all these cases $c_{2k}(\pi_r)$ depend on k and on r <u>ONLY</u>!

QUESTION: Is it true that there exists $k \ge 11$, such that

 $c_{2k}(\pi_q) \neq c_{2k}(PG(2,q))$?

Let $f,g:\mathbb{N} o (0,\infty).$ We write

$$f = o_n(g) = o(g), \text{ if } f/g \to 0, n \to \infty.$$

Let (n_i) be a sequence of positive integers, $n_i \to \infty$.

Let $\Gamma_i = (V_{n_i}, E_{n_i})$ – a sequence of simple graphs, $|V_{n_i}| = n_i$. If

 $|E_{n_i}| = o_i(n_i^2)$

and say that Γ_i forms a sequence of sparse graphs.

If Γ_i is d_i -regular, (Γ_i) sparse iff $d_i = o_i(n_i)$.

Example. Levi (π_q) – Levi graph of a projective plane π_q of order q (bipartite point-line incidence graph of π_q):

Then $n_q = 2(q^2 + q + 1)$, q is a prime power, $d_q = q + 1 = o_q(n_q)$: Levi (π_q) is sparse.

 Γ is hamiltonian if it contains a spanning cycle (= hamiltonian cycle).

G. Dirac (1952): Let Γ have *n* vertices, $n \ge 3$. If $d_{\Gamma}(x) = d(x) \ge n/2$ for every vertex *x* of Γ , then Γ is hamiltonian.

<u>O. Ore (1960)</u>: Let Γ have *n* vertices, $n \ge 3$. If $d(x) + d(y) \ge n$ for every pair of non-adjacent vertices *x* and *y*, then Γ is hamiltonian.

Closure $c/(\Gamma)$ is a graph obtained from Γ by repeatedly adding a new edge xy, connecting a nonadjacent pair of vertices x and y such that $d(x) + d(y) \ge n$.

A. Bondy - V. Chvátal (1972): Γ is hamiltonian iff $cl(\Gamma)$ is hamiltonian.

 Γ is hamiltonian if it contains a spanning cycle (= hamiltonian cycle).

G. Dirac (1952): Let Γ have *n* vertices, $n \ge 3$. If $d_{\Gamma}(x) = d(x) \ge n/2$ for every vertex *x* of Γ , then Γ is hamiltonian.

<u>O. Ore (1960)</u>: Let Γ have *n* vertices, $n \ge 3$. If $d(x) + d(y) \ge n$ for every pair of non-adjacent vertices *x* and *y*, then Γ is hamiltonian.

Closure $c/(\Gamma)$ is a graph obtained from Γ by repeatedly adding a new edge xy, connecting a nonadjacent pair of vertices x and y such that $d(x) + d(y) \ge n$.

A. Bondy - V. Chvátal (1972): Γ is hamiltonian iff $cl(\Gamma)$ is hamiltonian.

None of these theorems implies that $Levi(\pi_q)$ is hamiltonian.

Is $Levi(\pi_q)$ is hamiltonian?

J. Singer (1938): Yes, if $\pi_q = PG(2,q)$ – the classical plane.

E. Schmeichel (1989): Yes, for $\pi_p = PG(2, p)$ (different cycle).

Is $Levi(\pi_q)$ is hamiltonian?

J. Singer (1938): Yes, if $\pi_q = PG(2,q)$ – the classical plane.

E. Schmeichel (1989): Yes, for $\pi_p = PG(2, p)$ (different cycle).

FL - K. Mellinger - O. Vega (2013): Yes, for all π_r .

Is $Levi(\pi_q)$ is hamiltonian?

J. Singer (1938): Yes, if $\pi_q = PG(2,q)$ – the classical plane.

E. Schmeichel (1989): Yes, for $\pi_p = PG(2, p)$ (different cycle).

FL - K. Mellinger - O. Vega (2013): Yes, for all π_r .

Moreover, $Levi(\pi_r)$ contains a cycle of length 2k for every k, $3 \le k \le r^2 + r + 1$. (weakly pancyclic)

Is $Levi(\pi_q)$ is hamiltonian?

J. Singer (1938): Yes, if $\pi_q = PG(2,q)$ – the classical plane.

E. Schmeichel (1989): Yes, for $\pi_p = PG(2, p)$ (different cycle).

FL - K. Mellinger - O. Vega (2013): Yes, for all π_r .

Moreover, $Levi(\pi_r)$ contains a cycle of length 2k for every k, $3 \le k \le r^2 + r + 1$. (weakly pancyclic)

Levi (π_r) is also known as:

• a (r + 1, 6)-cage;

• a bipartite 4-cycle-free graph with partitions of size $r^2 + r + 1$ and having the maximum number of edges;

• a generalized 3-gon of order r: a bipartite (r + 1)-regular graph of diameter 3 and girth 6.

A generalized d-gon of order r is a geometry with Levi graph being a bipartite (r + 1)-regular graph of diameter d and girth 2d.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A generalized d-gon of order r is a geometry with Levi graph being a bipartite (r + 1)-regular graph of diameter d and girth 2d.

Existence for $r \ge 2$ and $d \ge 3$:

J. Tits (1959) for $d \in \{4, 6\}$ r = q – any prime power.

W. Feit and G. Higman (1964): If $r \ge 2$ and $d \ge 3$, it can exists only for $d \in \{3, 4, 6\}$.

Here are equivalent definitions:

• a (r + 1, 2d)-cage;

• a bipartite graph of girth at least 2d with partitions of size $r^{d-1} + r^{d-2} + \cdots + r + 1$ and having the maximum number of edges.

Denote a generalized (r + 1)-regular *d*-gon by π_r^d , d = 3, 4, 6. $\pi_r^3 = \pi_r$ – projective plane of order *q*.

Easy to show that $|V(Levi(\pi_r^d))| = 2(r^{d-1} + r^{d-2} + \cdots + r + 1)$. Hence, $Levi(\pi_r^d)$ is sparse.

Is $Levi(\pi_r^d)$ hamiltonian for d = 4, 6 ???

J. Alexander - FL - A. Thomason (2016+): Yes, provided r being sufficiently large.

Is $Levi(\pi_r^d)$ weakly pancyclic for d = 4, 6 ???

Not known. J. Exoo confirmed the weak pancyclicity for $Levi(\pi_3^4)$ and $Levi(\pi_5^4)$.
More general questions:

Consider a graph Γ on *n* vertices with girth at least 2k + 1 and having "MANY" edges.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Is Γ hamiltonian? Is G weakly pancyclic?

Let $\mathcal{G}(n,p)$ be a random graph model, and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(n,p)$.

What is Prob [Γ is hamiltonian]?

L. Posa (1972): If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ and $p = c \log n/n$, then Γ is hamiltonian, i.e.,

Prob [Γ is hamiltonian] $\rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty$,

provided that constant c is sufficiently large.

Note that the expected degree of a vertex of Γ is $(n-1)p \sim c \log n = o(n)$, and the expected number of edges is $\sim (c/2)n \log n = o(n^2)$. Hence, Γ is sparse. Pseudo-random graphs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶ 三国 - のへで

Pseudo-random graphs

A. Thomason (1987): (p, α) -jumbled graphs.

F. Chung, R. Graham, R. Wilson (1989): quasi-random graphsM. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov (2006): a survey.

Let $A(\Gamma)$ be the adjacency matrix of Γ . $A(\Gamma)$ is a real symmetric matrix, and so $A(\Gamma)$ has real eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$. If Γ is *d*-regular, then $\lambda_1 = d$. Let

$$\lambda = \lambda(\Gamma) := \max\{|\lambda_i| : i = 2, 3, \dots, n\}.$$

Let Γ be a *d*-regular graph on *n* vertices.

The difference $d - \lambda$ is called the spectral gap.

It turns out that the spectral gap $d - \lambda$ is responsible for the pseudo-random properties of graphs:

The larger the spectral gap is, the closer the edge distribution of Γ approaches that of a random graph $\mathcal{G}(n, d/n)$.

It is known that if $d \leq (1 - \epsilon)n$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then $\lambda \geq c\sqrt{d}$.

lf

 $c_1\sqrt{d} < \lambda < c_2\sqrt{d}$

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$, then then Γ is a <u>"good"</u> pseudo-random graph.

For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1/2)$,

$$\lambda(\Gamma) \approx 2\sqrt{n/2} \approx 2\sqrt{d}.$$

Theorem (M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov (2002)) Let Γ be a d-regular n-vertex graph. If n is large enough and

$$\lambda \leq \frac{(\log \log n)^2}{1000 \log n (\log \log \log n)} d,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

then Γ is Hamiltonian.

Theorem (M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov (2002)) Let Γ be a d-regular n-vertex graph. If n is large enough and

$$\lambda \leq \frac{(\log \log n)^2}{1000 \log n (\log \log \log n)} d,$$

then Γ is Hamiltonian.

If Γ is bipartite, then $\lambda = |-d| = d$, and the condition fails. E.g., it fails for $Levi(\pi_r^d)$.

Theorem (J. Alexander - FL - A. Thomason (2016+)) Let Γ be a d-regular n-vertex bipartite graph, and

 $\lambda = \lambda(\Gamma) := \max\{|\lambda_i| : i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1\}.$

If n is large enough and

$$\lambda \leq \frac{(\log \log n)^2}{2000 \log n (\log \log \log n)} d,$$

then Γ is Hamiltonian.

This gave another motivation for determining bounds on λ .

The following bipartite graphs and some of their subgraphs are hamiltonian when their order is sufficiently large:

- Generalized polygons π_r^d and their biaffine parts
- S. Cioabă FL W. Li (2014): Wenger graphs $W_n(q)$
- X. Cao M. Lu D. Wan L.P. Wang Q. Wang (2015): linearized Wenger graphs $L_n(q)$
- E. Moorehouse S. Sun J. Williford (2017): graphs D(4, q)

Problem 1: Establish similar results for all q, not just sufficiently large.

Problem 2: Strengthen a result of A. Frieze - M. Krivelevich (02) on

the hamiltonicity of random subgraphs of d-regular pseudo-random graphs.

This allows to show existence of smaller cycles in the graph.

At this time it is useful only for $d >> n^{3/4} (\log n)^3$ and large n.

Thank you!